

CRep228

Tue, Apr 14, 2026 9:25PM 2:11:37

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

UFO disclosure, Pentagon videos, UAP oversight committee, Roswell anniversary, Lou Elizondo, Nimitz encounters, Tic Tac UFO, Go Fast UFO, sensor data, Antarctica research, ancient civilizations, pyramids, no-fly zones, alien breeding programs, government transparency., Antarctica, Lemuria, Atlantis, Nazi expeditions, Operation High Jump, Admiral Byrd, gravity holes, volcanic activity, ancient civilizations, crystal technology, esoteric knowledge, U-boats, inner Earth, disclosure, military secrets.

SPEAKERS

Speaker 1, Speaker 2, Speaker 3



00:00

I coming to you from the city of the weird



Speaker 1 00:21

exploring topics from the esoteric and unexplored to dimensions unknown, shining a light of truth on the darkest corners of our reality.



00:33

Welcome to the curious realm. You



Speaker 1 00:47

Well, hello everybody and happy Tuesday night.



00:53

Hope you're all doing well,

S

Speaker 1 00:55

man, I am, I am jazz tonight. We had a we had a quick, quick batter switch this evening. Hakeem, my friend, get well. I'm so sorry. He has been traveling and be bopping around the globe in the name of psi ability and psi training for the last month almost, and landed and wasn't feeling well, so he got reprieved tonight from his live appearance, but make sure to stop on by Psy games international.com everybody get your tickets. I'm here to tell you, this is one of the most amazing event I do events for a living, like corporate events for a living, and this is, hands down, one of the coolest live events I have ever been to, much less the the actual demonstrations and competitions of psi ability. So stop on by check that out, folks. Psy games international.com. Is the website that you want to go to to buy your tickets for this year. They are going fast. It sold out rapidly last year. So that is coming up at the Westin in Charlotte, North Carolina, July 31 through August 2 this year. So I am, I am going to be there. We'll be there. We'll be doing interviews, we'll be doing all kinds of stuff. We hope to see you guys there tonight in pitch hitting fashion. As usual, our pinch hitter, Mike turber, is on the line. Soon as Hakeem got a hold of me, I stepped out of my child's school and was like, let me see if I can get a hold of Mike turbo, because there have been a couple of headlines in the news recently, I was actually just on the move on podcast with Richard Beckwith and and Bob spearing last night, talking a little bit about this stuff, but representative Luna hit the hit the headlines about a week ago, requesting a list of 46 videos from the Pentagon for declassification to the UFO UAP oversight committees. And the deadline passed today. The deadline passed the they, they did not provide it. Here it is from the Daily Mail, Whoa, sorry about that, folks. So many pop ups there. But there it is from the Daily Mail, Pentagon accused of cover up after failing to release UFO videos by deadline. So I was like, we well, now we definitely got to talk about it. Mike turbo, welcome back to the show, as always, my friend. Hey buddy, how you doing? Doing great, dude, doing great, like I said. Jet, super, super jazzed about having you on tonight. As I was prepping all this stuff for pre records, I was like, Man, I wish I'd have reached out to Mike turbo. And it's just funny that these headlines happen to hit right as this happened. So I was like, this is this is a perfect opportunity to talk about these things while they are hot, while they are a topic of conversation, because I think that there's a few things lining up for this odd July 8 announcement for UFO UAP disclosure number one, why choose the anniversary of Roswell?

S

Speaker 2 04:34

That's probably the best thing they could have done, because it's going to be a subject being talked about anyway. It's an anniversary. And why not? I say it from a marketing standpoint, but you know that date is, it's pretty interesting date that everyone can you know, that's in this community and point back to, and so we've come a long way, baby since then. So there's a whole lot going on, but disclosure has. Not happened yet. We really are. It's really on the headlines now. It seems like it's being a major push from everywhere, from normal academia all the way into the politicians, which we didn't have this five, six years ago. We weren't no able to have any discussion. That's good, that we're moving forward. So let's see where this goes, and hope and Trump can pull one off, and we'll see what happened.



05:26

Well, that's just it. It was all of 2017

S

Speaker 1 05:32

um when Lou Elizondo hit the airwaves with CNN and the the famed clips,



05:39

um from Nimitz and go fast and gimbal.

S

Speaker 1 05:45

And, you know, now we're talking about these, I said the other night. I said in the interview, you know, like I I'm sure out of the 46 requisitioned, probably about 40 of them will be of go fast status, where it's like, how did they make it this far? Yeah, exactly. How did they make the data is on screen. How did they make it this far, right?

S

Speaker 2 06:12

Yeah. And they have access to, you know, other other data that, you know, sometimes we don't have access to, but we can look generally at the data that's on what we receive, and pretty much figure out what things are. And so I'm thinking, you know, I'm hoping that the 46 videos, it's actually going to be over 50, just so you know when it actually comes out. So it's more than 46 but when it, when we see them, I'm hoping that they were pre screened to a degree, because it is a problem. When they they say that they have the video, something comes out, and it's almost like a Jeremy and Corbell situation where we were all excited, and then we think something's gonna happen. It comes out, like, Oh no, not another blurry video of a balloon somewhere or something like that. So I'm hoping that the quality of these, which we're being told, the quality is, is up there, and some of them are, you know, in very high definition. So I can't wait to see it well.

S

Speaker 1 07:15

Now, granted, granted, you know, since we talked last, there was the there was the call by Trump for all available data on UFO, UAPs to be called together and disclosed, put out now. Now granted folks what that, what that announcement means is, once again, anything publicly available, that doesn't mean that they are going to go into, like, top secret documents and unredact them and send them out that that's not what that means, not Not one bit. Yeah, and that's that is the

S

Speaker 2 07:50

expectation, though, because everyone is kind of expecting exactly what you just said. Yeah, the process for videos is different than the process for actual printed material. When you look at the video, the provenance of the video has to be cared for. And then they use a form called the DD 1910 form. And if anyone remembers back when Lou Elizondo came onto the scene, what he did to get those three videos, which is the gimbal, tic tac and go fast, it was clear one is actual name of the tic tac video. To get those videos out, he had to reclassify, or have the the videos classified in a manner that allowed him to use them. Yeah, and the there was a there was a problem with that, but no one knew it at the time that when he filled out those forms and sent it back, the request was essentially approved, but not for public dissemination. It was basically it was a threat identification program that he was setting up so that there would be systems in place to identify drones and various other whatever's in the air. You know, that's what the system was going to be to quickly be able to identify these things and and what happened was, is he came out and he gave the videos to Chris Mellon, and here we are, since December 16 of 2017 scratching our heads trying to figure out what's going on here, but that, you know that date will be another date that will live, and then to me, when we start going down the road. So it's I just, I don't know. I know the process they're going to go through with the videos, but there might Each video will have to it attached, whatever the report is for it. So I'm curious if we're going to get both, that should be the hopefully, that'll be the process and that we get both, you know, video and whatever audio, but also whatever printed material and whatever report report along with it. And ideally, if we could get sensor data. Yeah, that would be the holy grail of these 4652 or so videos should be an interesting week when July 8 comes around and it's going to be I hope they come through. I hope they come through this, because that could be released anytime.

S

Speaker 1 10:15

Well, now sensor data is where we get into part of the issue with many of these videos, Mike, because we get most of these are platform videos. They are they are videos from the infrared, forward looking camera, uh, things like that. They are from a specific platform, or part of a weapons platform, part of a targeting platform, things like that. It's not like they are being recorded with a standard video camera in that kind of way. Not like we are seeing actual unstripped footage in that kind of way. We are seeing something that's definitely altered to view. And really the only sensor that's there would be either a thermal sensor giving you a generalized surface temperature, something like that. But that's, that's kind of part of the issue is that we, we can't detect most of these things with most sensors that we have.

S

Speaker 2 11:16

Yeah. And the other, the other part of the spectrum here is, is that the data that's that's encoded inside some of these videos that come from, you know, MQ nine reapers and, you know, creditors and things like this, that data, when that when they cover that data up, which they did in several cases that we've seen, where we don't get the location, we don't get the speed, or the speed of the aircraft that's monitoring it, or the speed of the aircraft. It's actually monitoring things like that. So it makes it extremely difficult to to try to identify what these objects are. And that's that's what I try to do, is I identify specifically what that object was, just like the Hootie drone that you know when they showed that one video in Congress with the Hellfire bouncing off of that, that UFO, yeah, in reality it, you know, my analysis makes it, I don't know if he has a picture still, but because this phone together pretty quick, yeah, the Hootie drone that was at the ringer on the bottom of it, and that ring is what we saw waddling in The wind and and what have you. And I firmly believe that after looking at the location and being able to pull that data off of that screen, and that's the difference. When you have 1000s of eyes looking at these videos. And, of course, on X, everybody goes nuts trying to, you know, say it's this, it's this, it's this, yeah, but then you have trained guys like myself and the West and flora key and a bunch of these guys that are out there that we do this all the time, we kind of, we can look at something fairly quickly, identify a category that might go into, but it's extremely difficult for us to actually say it was this specific thing on this specific day this time, unless it's civilian. So if you have the military phone and civilian aircraft. Yeah, sometimes we can narrow it down to the actual aircraft, but when it gets to some of the information that we don't receive, like the other sensor data or what have you, then it creates this, I don't use the word that's coming to mind, but it creates a storm with a vernacular in front of that, where everyone's arguing over whether or not we have enough evidence to even identify something. In most cases, we really don't. So we started to do the best we can. Yeah, yeah,

S

Speaker 1 13:29

just pop the image of that Houthi drone up with the with the circular structure underneath it that kind of protects the warhead that it drops. Yep, protects the payload, and I should say, not warhead. But I sent

S**Speaker 2 13:44**

that information off to several of the members in Congress that were part of those committees and during that hearing and get back some responses. Thank you for taking into consideration blah, blah, blah. And then the people that that you've talked to before, they say, Thank you, other than, you know, looking for what this could be, and this is, like, the best answer they have to date, you know, of what it may what it may actually be, because all the, all the ticks of the questions are ticked on this thing. And it makes more sense, I guess. But you know, that's, that's what we're faced with when we're trying to take information that we don't have, you know, we don't have the ability to interview the people that were there. We don't have the actual, you know, raw data. Some of this data is redacted on the screen. And we, and we do the best we can, but we try to, you know, not, not, not do a confirmation bias based on what we think it is in the beginning, but after a while, you have to start, you know, pointing towards, okay, this was, where was this done? And it's the only object that I can think of in that area that made sense, because very rarely do you see rings hanging off of a drone, except the drone, which happens to be that Earth

S**Speaker 1 14:52**

well, and not only that, but also the fact that we pointed out in that video that has seemed to be the.

S**15:00**

Uh, the R 9x

S**Speaker 1 15:03**

version of the Hellfire, which is a kinetic version, not an explosive version, which would explain why it didn't blow up in proximity. Because missiles don't explode on impact, they explode in proximity, so that you get a shotgun effect and guaranteed to take out your target, not maybe miss it, like trying to shoot a bullet out of the air, right?

S

Speaker 2 15:26

And the health and the hellfire missile is not normally used to shoot down aircraft of any kind. Help. Our missiles are normally for ground to ground attack, but they have been moderately successful. But we're, you know, we're shooting multiple \$100,000 missiles. At these \$200 drones. The economics of it obviously start weighing in, and that's not going to work. So there's a lot of solutions that are in the pipeline and have already come out that rectify that. Yeah, so you got a 10 foot long hellfire missile you know, launched at a drone that's about four, maybe, maybe four feet wide, or something like that, two and a half feet wide, and, and that's the thing that turn that it had right at the end was obviously interesting. But you're right, the proximity fuse, so to speak, this is not a factor here. You're you actually have a G type use, which is a gravity meter or a G force meter, and it has to exceed a certain parameter. If it hits a light object, it's not going to go off, but it's going to, essentially going to keep growing. And that's what, that's basically what

S

Speaker 1 16:36

happened well, and that's just it. That's just it. What, what appears to happen in the video is that the blade from this hellfire missile clipped that hanging basket, and it's the missile then swung around the drone, you know, from, from straight inertia, but, but once again, it's, it's one of those. How does it make it that far, you know. And we even had the conversation on air with you about about Lou Elizondo and the pictures that he has put out, you know, that hit controversial points over, literally, the last year, where it was the chandelier and the mirror that came from a vetted source, you know, vetted intelligence source, and then the one that was an irrigation circle that came from his vetted source in the communities. And it's like time to get rid of that source. What point is, does intelligentsia stop vetting their sources?

S

Speaker 2 17:46

Right? Yeah, and that's an issue. When you have a trusted source and they give you something and you really you're putting your own reputation on the line. In some ways, I feel sorry for Lou, but in the other spectrum, that's his job. His job is to vet, not necessarily vet the person, if he's already vetted, but he has to look at the evidence. And before he goes out there and says that this object that you're looking at from this embassy, you know, is a mothership, and he and he further, you know, embellished that story by saying that he talked to the pilot who were in the air that witnessed this object, and that's when he had to really back pedal that, that whole story, when it was clear to anyone looking at it that has done this for a while, that that object is not actually there this and we able to determine it's chandelier and in a building, and someone shot the image through that the question is, is it that the person who was there taking that image and giving it to whoever his source is, that person also had an agenda, because they had to know that that was not a actual craft outside, because if they walked up to the window to get a closer look, it would disappear.

S

Speaker 1 18:59

Yeah, yeah. And I've just popped that image up on screen.

S

Speaker 2 19:04

Yeah, we're an intriguing looking image. He has to, he has to do better than that, because just like he had, those two experts on the unidentified program were saying that the go fast was an object that was very close to the surface of the ocean, and was hauling ass, was the actual term he used. And then the other pilot that they had as an expert witness said, yeah, that's about two thirds of speed of sound. Have no clue how they got to those numbers, in any way, but it ended up, ended up that the go fast was at 13,000 feet, which I don't know about you, but is not near the ocean and traveling at about

S

Speaker 1 19:45

38 miles an hour, yeah, yeah. And granted, granted to spending, depending on the scale of the object, 38 miles an hour could be hauling s

S

Speaker 1 19:59

different. Just over, just over school speed limit. You know exactly.

S

Speaker 2 20:05

Gotta keep that down. I gotta keep the speed down on school. But yeah. And then when you look at the apparently, a pilot took a picture that that circle, which is, you know, an irrigation circle. This, though, if anyone's driven through the farmlands of this country, or any country, they have those large irrigation for it, but, you know, they have the wheels underneath them, and under the power of the water going through them, they actually make a circle around the crop. And that's why you see all these circles. When you're flying in a plane and everybody's seeing these things, you got all these circles, but that particular circle was, I guess, at an odd angle, or perhaps the the the angle he took it at, or the way he was looking at it, the idea of what it might be, popped into his head. And when he took the picture, it just, you know, I got how many times Lou's been in a plane, but he had, I would have everyone immediately saw this. And quickly, quickly, it's not, not like it. I don't like using the term debunking. Sometimes we were able to quickly figure

S

Speaker 1 21:07

out what it is. And I've got the image up on screen, and basically it's, it's two of these circles near each other, and close enough that, you know, I guess you could consider that one of them is in the air, the other is the shadow of that object, I guess. But if you're familiar with what these objects are and seeing them to begin with, not really like I've seen them in rows of three by three, you know

S

Speaker 2 21:35

the pilot, yeah, the person that took the image, I believe if a memory service properly was a pilot, yeah, so he should know, but I don't know. I don't know the caveat of, you know all the nuances that happened before that was released, but that should have been under a lot of scrutiny. So hopefully he'll do better. We'll see, we'll see he's done a lot for this. Because if it wasn't for him, you and I would not be would not be having a conversation at all. Well, no,

S

Speaker 1 22:04

no, absolutely. I remember when we had our first conversation about it, like I distinctly remember it,

S

Speaker 2 22:15

and that was back when I thought that these like the tic tac was a Lockheed Martin object just and what's a weird is that was six years ago, and you got Steven Greer and Ross coldpart, both of them coming out signing it's, Hey, we just figured out this is Lockheed Martin technology. I said, No, pretty much we I, at least I ever anyone that follows me has figured out that that's that not that's not the case now after many years, but, yeah, you start to wonder if we go in these cycles where we loop back through the same, you know, dip into the same pond, and we're yanking out the same same things and rehashing them again. And I, you know, I'm wanting to push forward and move forward, but we've got to have some sort of board or something that has enough skeptics on one side and has enough believers, where we can balance out the issues that we have and try to move forward in a way that, you know, gets us the answers that we actually want. No one wants to believe in something it turns out to be a crack circle thing you know, the you know, or chandelier, you know, and you know, put it on their show and out the person, because the person that's on the show is the number one guy in UFOs at the time, which is a little Elizondo, yeah. So, well, that's a

S

Speaker 1 23:34

hard pill swallow. Well, in a big, I mean, hard pill to swallow, yes, but, but it's something that a it's a pill that we need to swallow, and it's a pill that we need to accept, and it's something that I we try to talk about on this show regularly, and that you've talked about this on this show, and it's even, even part of what I'm talking about at MUFON this year, is, why are you investigating, right, right? Why are you? What is your the motive, just as an investigator period, what is your motivation of investigation are? Do you have a hypothesis that you are trying to experiment improve? What? What is the motivation? Because, if it's to prove your belief you you need to examine that, because you've, you've got a really big filter there that you were polishing and putting all of your data through, called your belief in this topic.

S

Speaker 2 24:34

Yeah, so, and there's another, another thing going on is that there's a this, this common misnomer out there that the people who are skeptics or debunkers, or whatever you want to call they're non believers, that they don't believe aliens exist and all this other stuff. And I haven't met one yet that doesn't believe that aliens do not exist, myself included my first. Tattoo on my on my arm was the, you know, from the gold plaque that's on the side of Pioneer and Voyager spacecraft leave the solar system with the, you know, with the graphics that are on there. So that was, that's a tattoo. I'm definitely a believer in Aliens. I just don't think they're coming here twice or three times a day to provide video footage all for

i

25:21

to leave their lights on.

S

Speaker 1 25:24

And I am a I am a capital S skeptic. I will say that all the time I wear my skeptic like Superman. I am ready to pull my shirt apart and Haha, spring into action. But I am a believer's believer, Mike, and that's why my skeptical realm is so high, because I believe so deeply. My realm of what what would be considered evidence, or my realm of proof of data, must be higher than the average bear, because, yes, I had my experiences. I saw the amorphous black object over Houston, Texas with my friend Billy. I saw a orange light with my brother in in Roswell, just this last year, you know, stuff like that. So I've seen my things, and they've made me question and I wonder, but it doesn't mean that I shouldn't have a critical mindset and that I shouldn't think critically.

S

Speaker 2 26:30

Exactly I think, overall, over time, the advantage of having a verified story, verified by both skeptics and verified by believers, is that we actually can move forward, you know, hand in hand, so to speak, that we all are on the same path. We all want the same thing. We all want to know what's going on. We want our government to be transparent. So we all basically want the same thing. It's just we, you know, these battles that go on and forth where you know you're, oh, you're a skeptic, you're you're this, you're that, or whatever. It's like. It's really not that. It's just, I want to, if I want, if I'm going to believe in something, I want to make certain that I'm actually believing something that is, that is real, tangible, whatever. I spent like, 30 years, you know, saying things, and then I would be devastated to find out that something with here's a case in point. Go fast is a classic example of of this. Those three videos came out. I sent my I did a thing on go fast. And instead of posting it online, I sent it to Tom DeLong directly. So I sent it to Tom DeLong you blank, long 82 Tom DeLonge to the stars Academy. And then from there, yeah, from there. Apparently it went to Hal put off, who was looking at it, I guess with the TTSA members, which included Lou and all those other guys. Next thing you know, how put off is doing a a webinar, and my video shows up on his desktop. And so it was clear. I said, Wow, that's the same name of the video that I gave. You don't belong because I don't name them normally when I save them, I just saved my project number blah, blah, blah, this one, I put point blank what it was, and sure enough, he had it on there. Okay, this is interesting, so at least it made it to how through Tom somehow. But you know, that was like me in the way that the email was to him and said, Hey, before you go too far with this one video, I want to make you aware of something. There's enough data in the video to determine not only the altitude, but also the speed of the craft. So a lot of these things that are being said, and this is before the TV show came out about it, yeah, it was like, Hey guys, just, you know, so you don't go and, you know, have eggs on your face. And it was

S

Speaker 1 28:41

well before the NASA panel where they basically did the same thing, showed the data on screen from the camera. And we're like, why are we even debating this? All the information for the trigonometry of the speed and height of this object is right here.

S

Speaker 2 28:57

And I felt so good when that, when that came out, I was like, wow, here's another vindication, because I had a huge, deep argument with Eric Davis, and that argument is, I guess, made it to Gaia and several other organizations, because Susan Goff, who is the spokesperson for the Department of Defense, at the time, she was currently monitoring the email my emails, and Eric Davis' emails, of going back and forth and and, you know, through this, the famous email list, and it made it to black fall. So green ball, John Greenwald, the black hole foyer, requested any, any of these emails that Susan golf may have received that included some keywords, and sure enough, that like half of the emails are my emails. So it was kind of a it was kind of interesting, but they redacted the names on the emails and stuff. But after a while, after a while, I was kind of hidden corner. So Oh, my God, oh, now on my email. Also going to be read by everyone in the community. But if anyone wants to be unredacted version, let me know. Let you read exactly what was going on.

S

Speaker 1 30:10

But yeah, get there Well, and that's just it. The point here is unreaction and videos and things that have supposed to be released that have not been released have been roundly refused by the Pentagon. They're like, Nah, you know, we are. We're worried about getting it to you by your deadline.

5

Speaker 2 30:34

And not not only that, the reasoning behind it, I get, I mean, you know, being in being an angel's community, we do run across things where we have to be extremely careful to go through something to determine whether or not it will reveal methods and tactics or reveal capabilities of the equipment. And that's the thing. So that's why, when we get you get a video, it looks like it's somewhat, somewhat funny, or whatever it shows that, so that our adversaries cannot necessarily determine from our own releases exactly what our capabilities are. How clear of an image are they getting, which they spent \$200,000 for their app player sensor, you know, for this, this one pod that goes on the side of the, say, an F 18 and and, you know, China's probably spending \$100 you never know, but the the quality difference and being able to determine the capabilities of the system that comes into play, and I get that part, but, but there's other ways around verifying just like, back to the 46 videos that we're Talking about. You know, I've looked at the list. I know some of the ones that are coming out, and it's going to be a lot of people upset, because most, or a lot of the ones I was looking at from it's actually, it's actually more than 46 videos. Just everybody knows it's actually, because a couple of them will have two or three videos that are actually included with it, yeah, so we'll be over 50 when it's done. But you know, some of these things are very obvious, prosaic objects or birds, because you can see the wind swiping and things like this. And my question is, why would that video get to this level where it is included in a list? There has to be someone like, like Arrow inside the government that goes through these these videos, and before the government gets that go on their face, because by telling people, we can't tell what these objects are, it's a little embarrassing, and it makes us question our own capabilities. But we're, you know, in the United States, we're accustomed to not trusting the government, so we don't believe what they say, anyway, it doesn't make any difference. But other countries put to us and they wonder, wow, America must have a terrible system, because this object is obviously a blah blah blah, and to them it would be, you know, kind of it's embarrassing for us to have another country look at us and say, You guys cannot figure out what's in your skies, because this is obviously a blah, blah, blah, whatever. But those few that get through the cracks that we truly question and we wonder what they really are, those are the ones I'm interested in, you know, because saying, I want to shake my teeth into it, just like it did with tic tac. And so that information is being released, already released to Kevin Day reports and stuff like this. So we have that, and the other two are coming together pretty good. So, you know, when you have the director of Arrow, when Tim Phillips came out and verified the DARPA story, that was, that was a cool thing, verifying that DARPA and members from Air Force Research Laboratory were the ones that went on to the Nimitz ships. You know Princeton, namely, Princeton image, to get those data, that data that verified that those guys were telling the truth, verified that,



33:48

Oh, absolutely. The issue

S

Speaker 1 33:54

is, is that? The issue is that you have arrow that was just shut down. You know, you have Hegseth doubling down on Trump's UAP disclosure as the case load exceeded 2000 that was February. That was his February, and now suddenly, arrow is no more, and this doubling down, this doubling down on the promise of let's get the files together, has become a let's sweep 46 files that were requested specifically by and that'll distract

S

Speaker 2 34:36

us a little bit. Give them these 46 videos. Let them argue about that for a little while. While we distract we distract them and smoke and mirrors kind of thing from the other 2000 that we don't have to talk about for a little bit. You have to wonder sometimes, especially like when you go back to the UAP Task Force, if we go back in time a little bit, they had 144 objects that they were to identify. And all of this stuff was going to come out. And then we had this huge hearing and then out of the 144 objects to identify, they were able to identify. Let me see, let me count. Let's see the two, one out of the 144 and that was a partially deflated balloon. I don't know, but you. But if I pay someone to do 144 tasks, and they come back with one. There's a problem there. So I'm, I know they corrected a lot of those issues, and I'm happy that that's happened. But sometimes, you know it's like, if you, if you are having these problems, why don't you bring on some, some sort of outside counsel, outside contractors or whatever, to help you with these things. Myself, Nick West, but there's a bunch of guys are out here that's available and do this. I've been talking to different Congress members for a long time. I have been in on conversations where they needed something identified really fast and is able to come through. And I do that behind the scenes. It helps to do that behind the scenes for a lot of reasons, but this should be something that's done more in the open. I think, I think that we keep that transparency promise alive,

S

Speaker 1 36:14

we can get any kind of transparency, but, but you know, when once again, and we've said it, we said it on air with our good friend, rest his soul. Earl Gray, whenever he would come on with us, Mike, and we will break down the Senate hearings that were happening. And I don't know if there's another one scheduled. Offhand, once again, arrow was just disbanded, like a week and a half ago, numerous cases there, but as I've said before, I do not expect anything from those hearings to be the great moment of disclosure that people are expecting, where it's going to be like a Houdini moment, wheel the gurney out in the floor of Congress and pull the pull the sheet off, and here's the wreckage, and here's a hand and, you know, like, here's one of them in a jar like that. That's not what's going to happen. What you're trying that would be, go ahead,

S

Speaker 2 37:24

that would, that would be an incredible thing, especially having any of this evidence from from that we have, or any other countries brought into the universities and studied that way, so you can get the the peer review, which is, you know, scientific method and what have you. But we have a lot of these things that, you know, when we hear, when we hear about a hearing, it's coming up, a lot of people jump on the bandwagon saying that, you know, okay, this is it. This is going to be, they're going to release this and this and this and, you know, ends up being a huge nothing burger, which is a very, you know, terrible burger to try to chew on. It's just you're not going to absolutely our bills follow. And it becomes frustrating when it happens so often. And, you know, I get the part where we're having these conversations and congresses is on is actually, you know, bringing this up and giving a lot of legitimacy to it. The other part of the spectrum is here. Remember, in the beginning, a long time ago, when UFO stories are coming out, it was always individuals, you know, a guy on a farm, or this thing right here, yeah, and it just bounces around. And then it went up to, you know, academia people were saying, and then it went into, you know, pilots were seeing these things. And then now we have military pilots that are seeing these things. And the difference now is we have a lot of Congress members that are that are getting into the game. So to speak, you got burl sign. You got purchased a lot of them that start speaking about the things that they're hearing, and some of these things that they're saying are borderline open conversation of things that are classified. You know, they don't get into. They're not like showing us aliens and showing us this or that, which it would be great if they did, but just the mere talking about some of these subjects and giving any type of specific it goes against the NDA that they signed and goes against the top secret security clearance protocols that are put in place, you know, years and years ago. I'm not sure how some of this is getting by, but I'm, I'm welcoming that. I'm glad that it's happening. I just, I feel concerned that the wall is being pulled over their eyes and subsequently ours, and I don't want to see that happen in the accused

S

Speaker 1 39:40

disappointment, absolutely, absolutely, I am right there with you, Mike. And once again, I think that, if anything, what we will see hopefully, because the only way that you get capital D disclosure is through monetary means, through choking the purse strings of the black, black. AG, projects, you take the PERT, you take the string on that black bag, and you choke them out with it, and you stop the funding. That's, that's the way.

S

Speaker 2 40:11

Yeah, they still should have an office, like an arrow. Oh, sure, that does this. I mean, I don't, I don't. It's not that much money, and I think it's important enough that we have a centralized office that is structured to you know, because the guy on the scene may not have the equipment or the experience necessary to determine what some of these objects are, just like what we did to the spy 1b radar information that I was able to finally figure out what that was, and that'll come out here pretty soon. Some of it's already out, but the way that happens and the way the information is disseminated, it needs to go into some sort of structured format just like it that, you know, instead of coming up with these, these projects, like Project Blue Book, and then, you know, then you then you bounce into, you know, arrow and unidentified, you know, Task Force and stuff like this. Yeah, those, those are, like falling, like, band aids on this, on this wound of disclosure that we really need. And we we need a structured thing that is a permanent in place that all of and I mean, I tell you this because the air forces hasn't been so forthcoming in recent years or previous years, that the that everybody works together to determine what these things are and put a system in place that helps to quickly identify them, just like you're saying earlier. There's some that you can't see because they they operate in the infrared spectrum. Sure, that's that's important. We do have, you know, civilians that are creating organizations that are looking up and and, you know, taking notice of any objects that are moving in our sky, and a structured report system for that should be in place that they can give the information to one centralized, maybe university or whatever organization

S

Speaker 1 41:55

the United States government. That that's the thing, though. And when you say, don't pull the wool over my eyes and tell me something else is happening. These are pretty out in the open, like it's not rocket math, when, when you see it happen in real time, where, where, you know, hey, yeah, we're all about it. We're gonna help out. We're here's the Pentagon. We're here to help disclosure, and then within a week of each other, they shut down the all domain anomaly Reconnaissance Office and refuse 46 videos to the congressional oversight committee looking into such things. So you've gotten Yeah, you're not handing data over to the people overseeing things, and then the people who were supposed to be investigating these things, who were 2000 cases deep and didn't have enough people to deal with it, you cancel them. And so how can you not be seen as obfuscating things? How can you not be seen in that light, it's pretty hard. Once again, there's no wool over the eyes. That is a that is a straight up somebody throwing a sheep in your face, is what that try not to see it. And even the fact of, let's get into this other topic in the last few minutes here,



43:22

which is Matt gates

S

Speaker 1 43:26

claiming heard from an army whistleblower, the alien human breeding programs exist.

S

Speaker 2 43:34

And, yeah, and the breeding program, they're forced to do this. Yeah, which kind of bizarre the story is bizarre. I mean, I personally have issues with it. But, you know, you have to look at the source and go, this is coming from someone who who held a high office in our government, and was, you know, and he was in his office with with the other office members. It wasn't just him. And some guy whispered something, is here this. This is apparently a legitimate meeting that happened between him a army whistle bullet, talking about six to 12 different locations around the country where, where this happens. And apparently there's some forced breeding going on. And they get the people from war zones, or they get them from migrants that are trying to, you know, go do work, or something like this, which or

S

Speaker 1 44:27

traffic children, you know that they're that they're using to harvest Adrenochrome,

S

Speaker 2 44:33

yeah, exactly when you, when you look at this, you go, Wow, that's a lot of information to reveal that you got from a whistleblower that's not the protocol that he's supposed to follow. You know, Brandon, he's not in office now. And keep in mind he was, he was Trump's first pick for, you know, for attorney general, well,

S

Speaker 1 44:54

but, but Let's also not forget, because this, this comes up right after Chris. Dusty gnomes thing, all right, yeah, and that was that was a big thing with her husband, folks. And believe it or not, the main thing about that with her husband is the fact that it makes her a very viable asset for a foreign nation or anybody looking to blackmail a public official in such a way and to have blackmail material over them, to have that content, to be able to get access to that content from a private only fans, whatever. So when you're talking Matt Gates himself, like I've got the here is the ethics committee report from the ethics.house.gov right here on screen the report that details how he approached underage women to pay them for sexual activities, how how he used illicit drugs and these things and stuff like that. And he's not in jail. He's not in jail, not not whatsoever, and almost like



46:01

diplomatic immunity,

S

Speaker 1 46:03

just about, and it's one of the, well, it's, once again, amazing when you have good friends. But it's, it's also disturbing the fact that, once again, here's somebody who, because of this and their background would make a prime target for such things because of their access, their continued access to people of status in the government, that they would make a great asset, that they would Make somebody great to be able to turn over information or dig for information, what have you. So the fact that he is saying this,

S

Speaker 2 46:50

yeah, and his fascinating something that, yeah, true. And with you have to, you have to wonder, What in the world is going on here, and Why would, why would a whistleblower go to him? Did this process start when he was still in office? Because we don't really have a timeline here that I'm aware of. I didn't see one. And if this happened while he was in office, it's a totally different situation that we I mean, we would be having a very different conversation if you follow the correct protocol. But you know, we would be having a David rush type conversation, as opposed to whatever the situation is that he landed in his lap. I don't know if, if, if the story is 100% accurate, then okay, but he's talking about a whistleblower that doesn't have whistleblower protection, because the process, he has to follow the process. And, you know, or maybe we did follow process. And this is a still over leaked story from wherever it came from. It went down. It was on the venue show,



47:52

wasn't it? Yes, so it was on the show.

S

Speaker 2 47:56

Yep. So, and, you know, with that being said, if this person, these these people, these whistleblowers, that are coming forward, their lives are are changed forever, you know, and you know, some for the good, maybe some for the bad, maybe some in the middle, and hopefully everyone goes to the good side. But you know, some, sometimes these, these people get in a little deep, and they don't realize that, you know, you're going to be ultra scrutinized, well beyond anything you've ever experienced before. And if you come out on the side to where you're, you're you're doing talks and going around the country, more power to you. I don't have any problem with anyone doing that or making money from doing that. But if it's, you know, the issue is that it does change them. And I just it's a weird thing that this, the Whistleblower Protection, is done in in such a way, but the person releasing the information is a former congressman, and your mind has to question, especially with his background, yeah, why goes it's a huge question mark over the top.

S

Speaker 1 49:08

The exact claim here, let's be clear, the alleged program involved captured extraterrestrials mating with abducted humans from war zones and migrant caravans to create hybrids for intergalactic communication.

S

Speaker 2 49:25

Yeah, some of the questions that you'd have to ask is, okay, why are they being pulled from war zones and from migrant, you know, workers moving back and forth or whatever? Because they won't be,



49:38

because they won't exactly so



49:40

if you're an alien and you come to this planet,

S

Speaker 2 49:45

they would know, or you're asked the government that's working with you, and the government says, Hey, I tell you what. Here's how you do this. If you take these people from war zones, or if you take them from, you know, migrants that are, that are, you know, moving back and forth across borders, if they're just, if. Parents won't be noticed

S

Speaker 1 50:01

that much. That is supposedly part of the so called Eisenhower records, you know, where Dwight D Eisenhower famously met with them, and it was agreed that they could take a certain number of people every year for programs and things like that. However, however, this program is not run by aliens. No, the whistleblower briefed him on a secret alien, humid hybrid breeding program, right, involving captured extraterrestrials mating with abducted humans from war zones. So it's not like they're splicing them together.

S

Speaker 2 50:54

Mike, they're getting them copulated. I mean, this is what it sounds like. The other thing is, it was forced in. When I read it, I had to go back and reread the transcript from it and then listen to the video or the audio from the video to make certain I heard this properly. It sounded like they were saying that the aliens were the ones that were forced to do something, not they're captured. Yeah, like, Okay, what's going on this? This is way more bizarre, because if on the surface, if you just hear the story, you'll think that, okay, the people being forced to do it are the people here, you know, the humans. But when I went back, I said, Wait, I think I read that wrong. Let me read this again. And it was the aliens, of the ones being forced, that was that just threw this into such a bizarre category that I'm gonna have to wait this one out and see where we're gonna go with this. I'm not gonna put too many resources on it, but I do want to, I do want to see where it goes.

S

Speaker 1 51:58

That's it. That's a very that's a very measured way to say that I'm not going to put too many resources on it. I'm lifting. I'm lifting that that's like the that's like the one that I heard from job site my last show in Atlanta, where you're asking great questions,

S

Speaker 2 52:22

exactly my one man band operation here. I'm not sure what on this, yeah, oh, my God. But in

S

Speaker 1 52:30

sincerity, it's something that when you once again, when you look at things in a forest and the trees situation, and I am no conspiracy theorist. Folks worked with one for a little while, but I ain't one. Okay? I just I see patterns. I see those these things happening. I see it like literally unfolding, where it's like, Hey, we're gonna demand all this stuff happen with UFO files. And then the military is like, the people in charge of the military are like, Absolutely, we're behind you. We're going to make this happen. It's important for national security, and the people have a right to know. And then the people who are in charge of the Oversight Committee are like, Absolutely, I'm glad you're behind it. Here's the list of the ones that we've heard about that we'd like to see. And they're like, wow, you know, I don't, I don't know about that, by the way, you guys over here that are crazy backlogged investigating this shiz, you're out, you're out.

S

Speaker 2 53:36

You guys made no sense. You got dei you're you're out. Yeah, we're going to get rid of the resources that we need to, actually, you know, properly, or some properly, go over these, these videos with Ultra scrutiny to figure out what these things are, you know, and it's just very confusing as to why some of these decisions are being made when really that program doesn't doesn't really cost that much. Granted, they get a lot of cases, but they may be backlogged for a while, but

S

Speaker 1 54:07

they'll catch up. It's not confusing at all to me. Mike, that is how I run a dialog. That is how you build a dialog. That is how you build the story in the facade that you want it to be, yeah, literally, you control it,

S

Speaker 2 54:27

yep, you build it, and then then you try to destroy it. To see after someone has it, yep, you know, it's just like an addiction. After you have it for a while, we're gonna now, we're gonna take it away from you. We want to see what kind of response is this. Was, this really a program that everybody really wanted, you know, that kind of thing I can, I can see that being, you know, measuring the responses of in the reaction to to them disbanding, you know, this program Absolutely, but hopefully Trump will come through with, you know, with the with the disclosure, as promised. Hope so. I hope so. I do. And I mean, you did it with that.

S

Speaker 1 55:05

Here's the thing, here's the thing. And that's, that's just it, folks, this is something that I remind people about on air and off air. And, you know, Mike, we don't do a lot of politics here. The color of the show is purple, partially because of politics, because that's where we should all be. We should all try to be in the middle, even whenever we're judging data for the paranormal, things like that. We should be in the middle. We should not be polarized and charged one way or the other. We should be as neutral as possible. So the idea that



55:42

Trump has any kind of control over that?



55:46

Yeah, you know, that's another issue.

S

Speaker 1 55:48

He can he can resolve it from the absolute desk with a presidential order. He can do all kinds of things. But it doesn't mean it's going to happen. It doesn't mean the feet won't drag. It doesn't mean that big blocks of black won't be all over the pretty white pages. It doesn't mean anything that is top secret will be unsecured.

S

Speaker 2 56:12

Right now, what there's things the President doesn't know about? You know, there's a, there's a need to know, yeah, laws with, with all the, all these classifications and things. And I keep hearing the term, though, these are above top secret. They're above the president. No, they're not. There is no such thing as above top secret. There are many different, very varied categories of compartment or toxic. Yeah, you got to talk, you know, your top secret SDI, so you know you have your compartmentalized information, and then they may have a internal name that they apply to, like Umbra or next or, you know, all these different names that you can you can put behind them, and the President can specifically state that this is going to be declassified right now on the spot. They can do that and that, that gets a little scary sometimes. But so far, that program has not been abused that I've seen. I mean, even when he put out that super close up image of the of that Iranian missile that time, which revealed, you know, that our our optics from, you know, low Earth orbit or to medium Earth orbit are a lot better than people thought, yeah, but you know, that was, that was a long time ago, and that that image is the one thing that made me excited that Trump would actually do it where, if he revealed that image, and it was not fuzzed out. I mean, it was clear as day, and so, wow, look at that. That's just like the images I used to look at, and it's, it's there it is right in front of me. But okay, that's interesting. So hopefully, hopefully we'll get some more of that. That will be a cool thing.

S

Speaker 1 57:48

And I hope so. You know, once again, it's one of those. I look at it as data. I don't care if it is if it is testimony, if it is photographic, audio, video, sensory doesn't matter. It's all data that adds to the data set. So let's get it. I want it. I want to see. And once again, I don't think we're going to end up with the disclosure that the community wants even, even the fact of most of the community, whenever they watch them, are like, Oh, we already know this. So it's like, yes, you do. It's not for you. You have the public folks, those of us who are the ones are the minor public. They are there on behalf of the majority of the public who don't know jack about it, like they're set in public that has, like, I've heard the term, I don't know though,

S

Speaker 2 58:49

yeah, yeah, if yes, if yes, 100 people what UAP stands for. They, you know, 90 probably would not get it, but they all know what UFO stands for. Yeah. So it's going to be an interesting time. I do hope that some of the information, especially the information, is being talked about by various representatives, you know, in Congress and the Senate and so forth, that they don't get themselves in trouble one, because some of the things they've already said make me question like, wow, okay, what he just said would normally mean he's going to be called into a meeting and be told that, hey, you may be a senator, but you can't give this information out when it's given to you in a classified environment, like in a skip or or just the information itself. But yeah, they're talking about things that I know. If I had talked about, I would have, you know, I would have been out, you know,

S

Speaker 1 59:42

Hey, you can't talk about the captured aliens. Talk about the dead ones. Talk about the dead, but not the captured ones that were breeding with people.

S

Speaker 2 59:56

Yeah, like I said earlier, yeah. Come in there to the hearing with a big jar. Yeah, that would be awesome.

S

1:00:06

Independence Day, just this huge tube.

S

1:00:13

There they are. Probably already here. We'll find out later, the octopus.

S

Speaker 1 1:00:25

But that's just it. To to think that I think is is a little much and and more than ridiculous. What what hearings in Congress are for is to lay a legal means by which to expose something and put a stop to it. No different than, you know, the Iran Contra affair where, yeah, eventually we had Ollie North, who stood up and said, Yep, on behalf of this alphabet agency who's been lying, and these other people, I help sell things and move things around, you know, best case scenario, that's what we will get is a UAP all of Ollie North equivalent from an alphabet agency or contractor thereof. That's like, yeah, you followed the money to the door, and I was the one that had the key to the door,

S

Speaker 2 1:01:21

and I thought he was going to be a patsy there for a moment, but, you know, I guess kind of he was, but not to the degree that some

S

Speaker 1 1:01:28

of those things are carried out. Now he's a commentator, yeah? Now he's a talking head and reverse, yeah.

S

Speaker 2 1:01:39

He goes to show you that we can have people do some things that obviously are not things you want to be known about, but apparently they thrive in those communities. I mean, so I don't want to mention any, nope, there's quite a few in our community.

S

Speaker 1 1:01:57

Well, Mike man, as always, I want to thank you for coming on, hopping on, going, going through this with us, because it's a lot. There's a lot to break down inside of this stuff. It happens. It hits the headlines for like, part of the day, and then, you know, like an authorized Chinese ship going through the Strait of Hormuz just overshadows it all. So it's it's interesting to see the tidbits that come out when you see them, and it's interesting to try and put the mirror back together and try and get some semblance of the light that it can reflect. So thank you for always being there for that. Thank you for always coming on, especially in such short notice. You're You're always right there, man, whenever I call. So thank you. Thank you. As always, let everybody know where they can go to follow your work, where they can go to keep up with five by five news and everything that is. Mike turber,

S

Speaker 2 1:02:59

probably the best place to catch the current information and to be able to interact is probably going to be on x. The some of the lives that we're going to start producing here over the next month will come on to Tiktok and also on YouTube and and we'll do some spaces on x, because once I start releasing some of the Nimitz information, with some of its already out, and making a little store in the community there that that's going to be important for someone to explain exactly what it is we're looking at here. So visualizations and things like that. So x will be your, your primary spot to get current, up to date, because then, within a minute, I can do something, and then on tick tock, it'll be that's the direction we're going to go for Tiktok is going to be the lives, and then back to spaces on x, and then YouTube Live. So the YouTube Tiktok and X

S

Speaker 1 1:03:53

absolutely and hold the line real quick while we close out with the audience for this segment. Thank you so much once again, Mike, as always, for everything that you do while you are online. Thanks, bud. While you're while you're online, checking out everything that is. Mike turber, folks, by the way, right there on curious realm.com, forward slash videos, you can find all of the embedded YouTube channels of our guests, including Mike turbo and five by five news, which is right here underneath our UFO UAP and declassified government panels, which had all the Artemis stuff on it. So stop on by. Check that out, folks. When we come back from this quick commercial break, we will be joined by Brad Olsen. We will be talking about his new book about the secret of secrets of Antarctica, everything from the new Schwaben lamb base down there with the Nazis to you. You know, great, great pyramids being down there, former civilizations, possible actual civilizations. Right now, will we find out about that? We'll find out from Brad Olsen Right after this. You

S

Speaker 1 1:05:36

the key to good science is good research. At the heart of good research is a good data set with the field observation and encounter log from curious research, you can easily keep track of your investigative information all in one place, making it easier to review cases and readily see comparisons and contrasts between them, whether out in the woods, squashing in a back room, gathering EVPs or using high tech gear to track UFO, UAP activity this easy to carry, pocket size, scientific data log is the perfect companion for any field researcher. You can find your copy of the curious research field observation and encounter log@amazon.com or visit the official curious realm store at curious realm.com forward slash store to reserve your copy for yourself, your family or a mind that you want to open that website again is curious realm.com, forward slash store, you you.

S

Speaker 1 1:06:56

Well, hello everybody, and welcome back from that quick commercial break. Thank you so much for staying through that with us also. Thank you so much to our sponsors, especially true hemp science. If you're a user of CBD products like I am, stop on by truehim science.com today. Christopher Lynch and true hemp science use a spideric process where every part of the hemp plant is used, combusted, reused until nothing remains. And I mean every part, seeds, stems, fibers, roots, buds, leaves, every part follows that unique process until nothing remains, but an amazing spideric product, complete with terpene profiles and all stop on by check them out. True hemp science.com. Is the website that you want to go to. Curious seven is the code that you want to use to save 7% off your entire cart of \$50 or more, as well as get a free edible on your way out the door. Our guest in this segment is the amazing author, researcher and world traveler, Brad Olsen. He is the author of The all new book, Secrets of Antarctica, Untold History of the ice continent, that is available at CCC publishing.com I am about 100 150 pages into this book, Brad, and the data that you have laid out, the things that you have put down are absolutely awesome. Welcome back to the show, by the way. How are you this evening?

S

Speaker 3 1:08:27

Hey there, Chris, thanks for having me back on the curious realms. It's always a pleasure to speak with you, and glad you've delved into the book and see some of the secrets of Antarctica that I reveal in the new title

S

Speaker 1 1:08:40

well, and you know, you are one of the few people that I know who has I only know, actually one other person who has been to Antarctica, and they were a researcher who a friend of mine introduced me two years ago, but you were one of the only people I know that has been to all seven continents in that kind of way. But as opposed to that, you you actually mounted your own research trip to Antarctica. Unlike most people who are going there for work, that kind of stuff, scientific research in that realm, you went on your own volition and on your own dime to do this expedition. So let's start diving into that a little bit. What was, what was that experience like for you, just to even chart that mission to begin with?

S

Speaker 3 1:09:29

Yeah, it was no small task to get to Antarctica. I'll tell you, Chris, it by far the hardest trip I've ever taken, but you could say also the most worthwhile, because so very few people get to go down there. And as far as I know, I'm the only researcher in this field that has self financed and gone down there looking into the big questions, and that is every research station I went to, even the ushaway Yacht Club. And asking the same questions, do you know anything about these three massive craft that are in the ice which have been known and nicknamed Nina Pinta and Santa Maria by the NSA since the 1970s but nobody that I talked to seemed to know anything about it. I also asked about antediluvian civilizations, Giants under the ice, or pyramids poking through and again, kind of hit a brick wall with that line of questioning, too. But I did find that a lot of the people that worked at the bases, at least the ones that spoke to me did know that there were no fly zones, places you cannot go, no go zones. And on one case, there was a sighting of UFOs at a sister Argentinian base only a few weeks prior. So got a second hand UFO report. Maybe it's gone to move on to investigate, I'm not sure, but they told me that that was all the banter with the UFO sighting on the base and talking to their other comrades at the other bases just before we arrived at the brown base, wow, on the peninsula.

S

Speaker 1 1:11:26

Wow. That is that is remarkable. And once again, just the idea of being able to go down there to begin with must have been overwhelming to to comprehend, much less to be able to talk to researchers that had been at these locations, that had done these things. Let's, let's start peeling back a little bit you started off with the Nina, Nina Pinta and Santa Maria, the three craft that are supposedly under the ice sheet there that the NSA knows about. Let's, uncover that story a little bit real quick, Brad, and how that came to be in the book, and what you've uncovered there?

S

Speaker 3 1:12:09

Sure, yeah, and this is really my fascination, because I believe that we're going to get meaningful disclosure out of Antarctica back down the back of the book, I say all roads lead to Antarctica. Of course, no roads lead to Antarctica, but it could very well be the location that provides meaningful evidence that there was some UFO et related artifacts that have been left down there, perhaps for 1000s or hundreds of 1000s of years. So in my book, I've been able to locate some of them, including the one in New Schwaben land, the German held territory at the beginning World War One, World War Two, and this is on the throwback machine. All of them, I try to give the GPS coordinates so people can do and research it themselves. So if you go on the way back machine on Google Earth, year 13 and go to the German Conan base, K, O, H n, e n, this is what you're going to find, some kind of massive machine that they're doing a they're digging it out. Here's another image of what it looked like in 2013 with a runway with snowmobile tracks with Wow, yeah, in a blow up of the actual digging into the craft. And this is where, pretty sure this is the location that Emery Smith says, There is a door that you can go into and enter into the craft. Of course, it's very far away. It's hard to get to. You need permission to go there. I'd like to go. If I ever went back to Antarctica with a film crew, I think that would be a wonderful opportunity. And then I've been able to locate, I think, one of the other two, and that is from the whistleblower Spartan one who interviewed with Linda Moulton Howe. And this is on the Beardmore glacier, this giant craft, partially sticking through the ice. And he gives a description of going in there and finding these hieroglyphs on the wall, including this big one of the Black Sun, which was an occultic symbol of the Anna nerbe Society and the Third Reich. So just taken together, Chris, I think this is pretty good evidence, including some others. Smaller ones. Maybe this isn't Nina Pinta Santa Maria, but it's something dish shape sticking out of the ice. And maybe it's what we're looking for that is some kind of extra artifact on the ice

S

Speaker 1 1:15:19

well, and you know, especially when you're talking about that there, there are reports regularly. There was one that came out not too long ago about an entire rainforest being found under, under the ice. Like we, we forget the fact that we as humanity are very, very young. Brad, yeah, like, just to, just to give you all a glimpse, folks like America turns 250 this year. That's how young we are, just as just as a country to begin with, much less as a humanity. You know, like 10, 30,000 years is a drop in the bucket to to time and to other civilizations that have been around. So when you start looking at what may have been here, pre ice sheet, what could have lived in that primordial jungle, you know, things like that. Yeah, you you may be looking at antediluvian civilizations. You may be looking at remnants of giants, things like that,

S

Speaker 3 1:16:23

absolutely, and that's why you could have a very meaningful disclosure coming out of Antarctica in the coming years. Because there are so many different locations and different presumptions of what is down there, including what's under the ice, as you said, a whole different environment. And so know how last year they were doing the scans on the Giza Plateau. Philippe Bonde and his crew were doing those scans, just in the same way that we have a new greater understanding of what's below the Giza Plateau. They're doing the same kind of scans in Antarctica, and some of the results that are coming back are equally impressive, showing literally new environments down there that have never been seen before. Yeah, this one's on the surface, but again, you see the roads and the snowmobile tracks, yep, of this two pyramid shapes. And it should be mentioned that Mother Nature does not create perfect right

S

Speaker 1 1:17:30

angles like that. Not, not on that scale. No, like it will on a scale like this. You know where it's like, yeah, here's a tiny little cube of mineral, but not on the scale of that, not on the scale of that so and even whenever you look at what's here on screen, folks, just to describe it to the listeners, you're looking at two very large structures with inner inner Walls, not just an outer wall, but inner walls, but what looks like roadways inside of them, like this is, this is a large, large complex that that is being shown on this image,

S

Speaker 3 1:18:13

yeah, and especially because you can see the roads that go through it and around it. So clearly not some kind of archeological discovery being made, but they're not coming forward with it. This is not what is taking the headlines right now. And I wish it were, because you can see the stairs going up the middle. You can see the right angle, as you mentioned, the outer wall, it appears so these are just incredible places in Antarctica that definitely deserve a lot more look than they're getting given credit for now

S

Speaker 1 1:18:53

in your previous research, things like that, have you, have you found anything that that points to Antarctica from from other civilizations, things like that.

S

Speaker 3 1:19:03

Well, you've always hear the term Atlantis, the lost continent and other civilizations. And some of these scans that they're doing below the ice, and some are even poking through the ice, which show almost perfectly symmetrical city blocks, which, again, Mother Nature does not create in these perfect right angles. And you've got from some of these scans, what looks like, yeah, pyramids poking through the ice as well, and entire city scapes. And some of those are starting to get some some more headlines in some of the UK newspapers. I've got some clips here of some headlines saying they're finding cities below the ice and all kinds. Kind of symmetrical city, like plans, and that can only be done when fashioned with intelligent hands. Even NASA is revealing, says, reveals traces of ancient human human settlement underneath 2.3 kilometers of ice. So Wow. To me, this is just a game changer. This is showing that there had been an advanced civilization on the earth a long time ago, because this ice takes a long time to accumulate to that degree to cover it with two miles of ice.

S

Speaker 1 1:20:41

Yeah, yeah, exactly. And, and, to be literally, the, the largest repository of fresh water on on earth. You know, there is so much ice. But at the same token, with all that, it's not like, it's not, it's not necessarily like the Sahara, things like that, where, where you're going to deal with erosion in that kind of way. You may deal with some wind erosion, that kind of stuff, but there, there's almost no moisture that falls on Antarctica on an annual basis.

S

Speaker 3 1:21:21

That's right. In fact, it's classified as a desert, and there are some areas in this dry Valley region, not too far away from McMurdo, where it has not rained or snowed for millions of years. It is literally the driest location on the planet, and that's where they even practice the lunar and Mars rover, because it's such a cold, inhospitable, yet, but dry environment which mimics those two locations, yeah,

S

Speaker 1 1:21:52

yeah, precisely. And, you know, these, these things, once again, there, there have been stories in the past that that have come up about this stuff like the the example that I give is the the people of Hawaii talk about going, going down to where the the icebergs are, and stuff like that. That they sailed as far as that we know, they made it to Rapa Nui, right? You know, which is literally close, close to Antarctica, you know. So it's, it's one of those, the the odds of other civilizations making it there. What are, what are? What stories do we have of that, Brad, before we get into, well, new Schwaben land and the Nazis going there.

S

Speaker 3 1:22:47

Well, it's interesting you bring up Hawaii and Easter Island, because those are two of the corners of what was the continent of Mu also known as Lemuria, which were pre dating Atlantis. So another civilization, and I have some references in the book of possible Lemurian civilization or influence in some of these ancient civilizations. I don't even remember the Zuni elder named Clifford mahudi. Yes, spoke at several conferences. Yeah, it was good friend of mine. We would always meet up every time I went down to Sedona. Fortunately, passed a couple years ago, but he made a very strong case that the Ancestral Puebloan people, which are the Hopi and the Zuni and some of the other older Native Americans in the southwest were all descendants of Lemuria. There was just in the same way that Atlantis survivors spread to Europe and the Atlas Mountains of Africa, according to Edgar Casey Clifford mahudi said that these populations, including those in South America, some of the high cultures there were the survivors from the sinking of the continent of Mu or Lemuria. And then here's the map from the Chinese who were charting out the world in 1421 that's just incredible. Over 70 years before Columbus, and they already have a pretty accurate version of the world map, yeah, including Antarctica.

S

Speaker 1 1:24:33

Yeah, precisely which would and you know when, when you start getting into that, when you start breaking apart the fact that this is a land that has been there that's been talked about. There had to have been something that came from there. There had to have been some kind of stories about it in some sort of cultural aspect.

S

Speaker 3 1:24:59

Yeah, exactly. And the Chinese were prolific explorers, as evident with that map. And it was interesting that they depict that little island, which is not there anymore, but that's approximately where the continent of Mu was, yeah, right there. So they might have had some pre knowledge of that continent existing and sinking into the Pacific too, and that made it onto their map.

S

Speaker 1 1:25:31

And let's get into Moo real quick, the civilization, and at least according to legend, what what happened to Moo?

S

Speaker 3 1:25:42

So there was a British Colonel. His last name was churchwood, who was assigned to India in the 19th century. And churchwood became friends with some of the rishis, which is a word for the seers, the Holy men of India. And he was given access to some record books of this lost continent of Mu and he went on and wrote books and published quite a bit of material about it. He's the main leading researcher who popularized the whole notion of this continent that sank in the Pacific before Atlantis did, and might have even been involved in some wars with Atlantis. Yep, because at some locations you find vitrified stone or glass explosion marks, say, in the Sahara desert and up in present day Pakistan. And he was saying that there was, there was a war, yeah, we might be leading to it would be these two powers that were fighting with very high tech weapons. And so that may or may not have led to the sinking of both of those continents. It was more likely just pole shift, which happens somewhat regularly on Earth, I say regularly, but it's about 16 to 20,000 years. But in geographical and geological time. That's not very long at all. Yeah, exactly, exactly.

S

Speaker 1 1:27:26

And, you know, we are well overdue right now for the, really, the full polar shift in that way. And it's, it's interesting, though, whenever you follow the North Pole, like, it's been on the move almost a mile a day since like, if you ever wonder why you wake up one day and your GPS shows you across the street, you know, things like that, where it's like, how am I across the street suddenly, when I woke up in my own house, that's why. That's why. Like, it's it's been a moving target for GPS and wreaking havoc for almost a decade,

S

Speaker 3 1:28:02

as well as in the southern hemisphere. Yep. The geometric, Geographic South Pole, it remains where, if you're spinning a top at the very bottom of the world, but the magnetic south pole is already off the continent. Yeah. So if you're sailing a ship in oats land, which is basically directly below New Zealand, your compass would point out to sea. It would not point towards the south pole because it's already moved that far off the continent.

S

Speaker 1 1:28:33

Yeah. And you know all these things whenever you take them into consideration, whenever you start thinking about, hey, how long that continent has been under a sheet of ice? How long ago? Because, like, they're pulling out cores from a million years ago, sometimes, right? And yet, still, there are things like this. There are stories about it. There are legends about it. There are various cultures that have talked about it. Talked about coming from the area, or even going to the area once again, like the Hawaiian people. So it's, it's been known for a very, very long time.

S

Speaker 3 1:29:18

Yeah, yeah. And then, as evident with these old texts that church would use to write his books about the lost continent of muo which we should say is even closer to Antarctica in its present location than Atlantis was. So there's pretty good evidence that those old civilizations that can be detected by scanning under the ice could very well be from an earlier civilization than Atlantis, but Dr Michael Sala has given me GPS coordinates for some locations where you can see again that green. Did work perfect symmetry of laid out city. And his understanding was that was Atlantis, and that's along the western portion of Antarctica. It's also called the Antarctica Peninsula, or the Palmer peninsula, and that's the area that I went to, and this is a picture of it in its entirety. And that's where I went sailing that. That's the portion of Antarctica that I went to, right up in those islands. And he gave me some GPS coordinates kind of down here. Okay, that, and it's in the book of what looks like, again, a city grid. And his understanding was, this was Atlantis, because that portion of Western Antarctica came down the Mid Atlantic ridge to its present location after the last pole shift. So Antarctica is actually two continental land masses that could conjoin in its current location. Whereas Eastern Antarctica broke off from Pangaea or Gondwana land, which included Australia, Madagascar, India and Africa, they were all joined at one point. And can say this with certainty, because they're in the fossil records. There are plants and animals that only match those certain areas, and could not have swam across the vast expanses of ocean to get to their present location. They had to be joined at one time