

CRep242

Tue, Jul 21, 2026 10:32PM 3:27:23

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

UFO disclosure, truth embargo, government secrecy, nuclear weapons, extraterrestrial presence, activist movement, Pentagon disinformation, whistleblower testimonies, plasma orbs, defense contractors, eminent domain, political implications, public awareness, scientific research, technological advancement., Disclosure, anti-gravity craft, space debris, Kessler syndrome, extraterrestrial contact, nuclear weapons, artificial intelligence, religious implications, Catholic Church, UFO phenomena, scientific evidence, post-disclosure era, messianic quality, skepticism, technological advancements., UFOs, experiencers, MUFON, abductions, government involvement, disclosure, Roswell, anti-gravity propulsion, missing scientists, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, data centers, field investigators, experiencer questionnaire, UFO sightings, UFO research.

SPEAKERS

Speaker 6, Speaker 2, Speaker 3, Speaker 5, Speaker 4, Speaker 1



Speaker 1 00:17

Coming to you from the city of the weird, exploring top. topics from the esoteric and unexplored to dimensions unknown, shining a light of truth on the darkest corners of our reality. Welcome to the curious realm.

S**Speaker 2 00:50**

Well, hello everybody, and happy Tuesday night. Hope everybody is doing well. There is all kinds of stuff brewing in the world right now. I'm telling you, we are very excited to be bringing you a couple weeks of great shows. Our good friend Gretchen Cornwall, the author of Templar Cave Royston, was supposed to be on the show next week. Unfortunately, she will not be able to, folks, because she's filming with National Geographic. So we we never keep people from opportunities like that. Those are things that are fantastic in the world of research. Whenever folks like National Geographic see your work as worthy to feature, worthy to talk about, whenever it comes to research into things like Knights Templar. So congratulations, Gretchen. In her stead, we will have oh my golly! My list just left. There we go. We will instead have Kristin T. Harris on talking about giants in America, giant bones. There was actually a panel today that I sent him that was all about the Smithsonian changing history, and we'll get into that conversation, the whole was the Smithsonian hiding giant bones, things like that. We'll be going to Sci Games next week, so the week after next, our coverage will be live on site at SciGames, the amazing event in North Carolina, first of its kind, where folks with sight abilities, remote viewers, all kinds of things, everything from precognitives to telekinesis, all kind or psychokinesis, all kinds of different disciplines compete against each other. It is really fascinating and super fun. So check it out and look out for that coverage. Our guest tonight, in the second part, is Bob Spearing. We will be talking about cases of interest with MUFON and things upcoming at the MUFON Symposium. And in the first segment, we are glad to welcome back the founder of Paradigm Research Group, Steve Bassett. The topic of conversation tonight, oddly enough, right after things got ready for this show, it was released today that contractors are now, as long as contractors in the world of black budget projects, things like that, go to Arrow or pursue directly, their NDAs are now waived, and this was something that Congresswoman Luna called for at the big presser at at the Capitol Hill a few weeks ago. Was what we really need is the president to make some kind of action against NDAs with private companies, so that because even if they come forward to the government, it doesn't mean that they aren't punishable by the NDAs that they signed with the private entity. So, welcome back to the show, Steve. How have you been, my friend?

S**Speaker 3 03:47**

Ah, still here, still hanging in.

S

Speaker 2 03:50

Well, as we were saying before the show, it is because of the work of good people like you over the last many decades that we are now seeing these trickles come down the line that we are now seeing declassification of documents, and I've I've said on the show numerous times that it is the fact of many of these documents. Yes, are not new; they've been around for a long time. If you're a researcher in communities, things like that. But what it is a culling together of data from various very far-reaching sources that you'd have to look through that makes it accessible to the common person and and to the fact that over a third of the people on Earth have clicked on the Department of War UFO files, Stephen. Over a billion clicks, like over 3 billion clicks. It

S

Speaker 3 04:42

was 1,000,000,007 Yeah, it was 1,000,000,007

S

Speaker 2 04:45

Yeah, yeah. So it's it's and growing and growing because they just had the fourth tranche. So with being involved in this for as long as you have, what do you make of every number one? Congratulations, you've you've finally started thawing something up. But what do you make of all of these things? What do you make of the folks in the community, especially who keep saying "big nothing burger" about all of this?

S

Speaker 3 05:11

They were they were always going to do that. They were always going to feel like it had to be a their frustration with the truth embargo was deep.

S

Speaker 2 05:20

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 05:20

and so that was going to be the case, and so the end was always going to be like this, right? As we get close, everyone is now expecting it all. I expect it now, right? And they're they're missing the point that something of this magnitude, which in some ways is more significant in magnitude than anything else you can think of, including the war that's going on right now in the Gulf, has to happen in a in a certain in a in a in a way. There has to be a way that this gets done, and it has to relatively work for the government, for the political figures, and so forth. You know, defense country, all that stuff. Yeah, there was going to happen, and to the extent that they could keep keep it back, hold back, they would try. But at the same time, they're having to prepare for the inevitable. So we're in the we've been the disclosure, the end of disclosure. I mean, the the beginning of the the end of disclosures in 17 really picks up in 23 and never stops after that.

S

Speaker 2 06:25

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 06:26

in terms of the hearings, in terms of legislation, in terms of members of Congress speaking out, and on and on and on, members of the administration, members of the cabinet. So it's just never stopped. The only thing I think that prevented it from just closing out fairly fast is very unusual things happening both in American politics and foreign policy. Which hey, I've been around a long time. I and I spent a lot of time in Washington. I've I've seen a lot of weird things happen, but this pretty much surpasses them, and in particular, as things really were getting close, the situation in Iran that whole thing blows up, and it not only blows up, but it's it's escalating. It's getting very complicated. It is exactly the kind of thing you would expect to happen to lead into a a third world war, whether it's nuclear or not.

S

Speaker 2 07:25

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 07:26

and this is the irony. Oh, it's not an irony, but this I have to point out. Please, for most of the last 30 years, I have in terms of my activism, one of the the threads that I've constantly putting out there is that this the the presence of ETs is connected to the the the rise of atomic weapons, certainly the use in Japan, and of course the nuclear arms race that followed, and that there is a direct connection. And secondly, what has happened since Roswell, where we are now two things are are happening at the same time, which is is interesting because all of the time I kept saying year after year after year disclosure is absolutely essential if we're to avoid a nuclear war. It's the only thing that could probably change course.

S

Speaker 2 08:14

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 08:15

and guess what? I guess I was right, though being right is overrated. Let me tell you,

S

Speaker 2 08:21

it's not always the best. Right now,

S

Speaker 3 08:23

we are everything we're seeing in the Gulf is exactly what you expect, prelude to a war. And at the same time, breaking things on the disclosure side in Washington are happening every couple of weeks, and so disclosure is coming. Though it could, you know, it could stop, depending upon what happens over in the war, and the war is coming. But it could stop, I hope, because what happens? The disclosure. So we've got this kind of tension here. We need we need disclosure. I think to avoid the nuclear nuclear war, we need the situation in Iran to settle down in order to get disclosure. It's kind of a catch 20-two of sorts, and I didn't expect this. It's frustrating. It's driving me nuts. So, with that in mind, the recent statement today-you can't. Every day is a new statement. Yeah, in which it's not that the president is is liberating people from their NDAs? I don't think it's just contractors. I believe it's any witness. It's

S

Speaker 2 09:25

anybody. Anybody. Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 09:27

that's right. Yeah. In other words, David Grush would have been much in much safer position. Yes. There are many witnesses that are known that that won't come forward because of NDAs and so forth. There are many witnesses we don't even know about that won't come forward because of these NDAs, and so this could create an avalanche of witnesses. Though it could, it's going to take time for people to come to believe it. In other words, it's one thing to say you're exonerated or you're not you don't face any penalties. It's another thing to be to really believe that. So that's going to take a little time, but this is a major breakthrough. Same time, some of your viewers may or may not know this, but the fifth, I think, the fifth submission of the second half of the UAP Disclosure Act that was taken out when Schumer rounds put it in the first time, all the way back in I think was 24 no, no, it was 20, yeah, four. It's been put in again, and this time it has been put up for a vote. It has now a a docket, a date on a docket for a vote, and this time it may pass. One can make a strong case given things that are happening in the White House and elsewhere. It's going to pass, and it includes the eminent domain clause that was taken out. It includes the independent review panel and probably most of the other stuff. Meaning that after all these years, Mike Rounds and Senator Schumer are pretty much going to get the bill that they courageously put out way ahead of pretty much everyone else. You can find all the copies of the original the original bill. You can find the ones that were passed. Look at the right places online, and you can see how it was shut down. And I'm think probably I'm certain you can find the the the submission that is going to be in the House docket. And this was championed major by Eric Burleson, who is a major leader on this issue.

S

Speaker 2 11:19

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 11:19

there's no question. He is fierce. He is solid. He is committed. He is also very much in the Republican Party, and not in the Republican Party, but he's very much with the current president and with other things going on. And so he doesn't have that problem. Of course, Annapolina Luna, in her own way, has been incredibly fierce. She's got her own things going on. She's pressing, so you got two of them pressing, and then you've got other members that are frequently speaking out, like Tim Burchett. It's relentless; it never stops. Yeah, and any reasonable person, even people who edit, edit or editors of some of these major papers, papers who are supposed to be reasonable, should look at that and go, "That's all I need to to set up an entire disclosure team in my in my paper, right, to take this on full bore. Not quite there yet. Not quite there, but it's only a matter of time. And so, this is where we are right now. Yeah. It's and and so what I'm focusing on. I don't have inside connections. I'm an outside. I watch what's going on as an activist and try to decide where to do or what to do. I'm not trying to get inside shoulder to shoulder with somebody writing legislation. I'm a boutique activist. Now, Danny Sheehan's group, New Paradigm Institute, that is a full-fledged activist organization.

S

Speaker 2 12:38

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 12:38

right. You know, substantial funding and boards of directors and a long, long history because it's part of the Romero Institute, and so he's the guy you go to for some of the more deep stuff. But I'm I'm also a communicator, and by and large, one of the biggest problems in this issue in the disclosure process is that it's difficult to communicate to people, and that they understand it, and they get very confused. And my job, I think, is to is to just make it basic, straightforward, not get out of hand, be clear, not be afraid to say what I absolutely think is the case at the time. So I'm kind of a populist advocate, activist, an advocate in that sense? Nope, I don't have the PhD. Don't have you know 30 year career in defense intelligence or the or you know defense contractors. I'm just an activist.

S

Speaker 2 13:29

But I think that also that also lends you to a different realm of things, though. I mean, it lends you to a beautiful realm of trust, Stephen. the The fact that you are independent, that you don't come from from the realm of intelligentsia, that you don't come from the realm of being a science advisor to presidents or anything like that.

S

Speaker 3 13:54

You know, you don't want to pick up anybody, but I'm an outsider. I'm an no, that's

S

Speaker 2 13:57

that's what I'm saying. You are a concerned citizen, truly

S

Speaker 3 14:01

the role of the outside activists, of which there have been many,

S

Speaker 2 14:03

yeah, is

S

Speaker 3 14:04

to finally get the inside people to become activists in a sense. That's right, but it is much more difficult because in high-level people, give me an example. If you're a journalist, you have significant restraints on what you can do, what you can say, how you go about your business.

S

Speaker 2 14:18

Yeah.

S

Speaker 3 14:19

If you're a politician, you got all kinds of complicated limitations of what you do, both legal and politically. If you're in the military, say no more. I mean, you've got all these limitations and so forth. If you're if you're a academic, right, high level academic with prestige and whatever, you have substantial limitations on what you can do, and I get that, and that's always been the case. And those limitations have been harvested by the Truth Embargo managers for 80 years, to as part of the process of keeping this thing under wraps. And this is where the activists come in-the kind that I am. Yeah, the you know the street, you know, activists and the anti-war movement. The ones that you know they were out doing the protest, whatever. The people's advocates. This is where we come in. Why? Because we have no constraints, none. And if you're truly an activist in the in the right way, you don't have a financial constraint. Meaning, you don't have to make money from it to do it, but it would be helpful. Okay, you know what I'm saying. Yeah. So you're not going to say things in order that that are really not in just not what needs to be said. You're deviating from the fundamental message and what needs to be done. Yeah. In order to bring in some money so you can do some more. This is not easy to do, and so we don't have any constraints, and thus we say what we want to say. Now, having said that, there's another kind of activist that is in a similar realm, and so this is like the bizarro world, right? You know, the flip, the upside, yeah, all that kind of stuff. These are propagandists. These are activists who have no constraints on the truth. They will say whatever they say to get something, and it doesn't have to be true. And propagandists are basically activists, and a lot of them can be citizen activists. A lot of them are state operations; they're heavily funded. And so, in the early years, that the the citizen activists, like the ones that went out to try to unionize countries, all of companies all over the, you know, the the country, and and they had resistance certainly from from from the corporations and so forth didn't like that, and there was obviously some pro union propaganda and pro business propaganda, but it's not like now. Now, if you want to be the citizen activist, you're up against massive, complex propaganda machines with huge amounts of money and access to virtually everybody on the planet. This makes this kind of activism a lot more difficult, and frankly, and there are other activists in other areas that would say the same thing.

S

Speaker 2 17:04

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 17:05

I am very fortunate because I can think of a number of activist movements I couldn't touch. I mean, I just wouldn't go there. It would, it would. It's just too brutal. This one has a huge benefit because the issue transcends politics and religion, and so many other things. It's just transcended. It's massive. It is very nonpartisan. So in terms of the country or the political party or your his views, and to some degree even with religion, it is not partisan. And so in that sense, you can say what you mean. You can say what has to be said, and you generally, you know, because in other movements, when you do something like that, the side that is opposed to it comes after you, right? And there's always going to be the sides opposed, and it can make your life miserable. But in this case, the sides that the side that opposes disclosure are simply people that are not ready to accept the fact that we we are not alone, wherever they come from. My money's on the other stars, and that is uncomfortable for them. That's not the kind of thing that generates a massive blowback. But if you're if you're a union activist trying to un you know unionize some big companies, the people that own that company, they really don't like what you're doing. It's not trivial, and they will come and do terrible things to you. This is a movement that's about as safe as you can get.

S

Speaker 2 18:30

Well, no,

S

Speaker 3 18:31

and so I'm lucky that I picked it because I don't think I could have I could have done it else elsewhere.

S

Speaker 2 18:35

Well, and you know, honestly, once again, your work over the many many decades to get to this point has been a mountain, Steve. It's absolutely amazing the fact that we are here. I'm 50 years old now, and the fact that we are having this conversation at this point is very fascinating to me, and and just so interesting because whether whether it comes from outside, whether it's our technology, whether it doesn't really matter. Once again, what the files and the releases show, what the what what the numerous panels from the GOP oversight committee show, is that our government has been very very interested in this over the last 6070 years and spent billions of dollars of our money doing it, and we have no answers.

S

Speaker 3 19:27

And that's that's important. But well, you

 Speaker 2 19:29
said we

 Speaker 3 19:29
don't have answers. But understand they they government knew exactly what was going on 80 years ago.

 Speaker 2 19:34
Yeah,

 Speaker 3 19:34
and every year learn more and more and more. So they have had it all.

 Speaker 2 19:38
Yep.

 Speaker 3 19:38
Right. But in the citizen world, because we are democratic republic, and there are other countries out there that are generally democratic, in Spain and France and the UK. There was research that went on there, and oddly enough, in some of the more how would you say ideological control countries,

 Speaker 2 19:55
yeah,

S

Speaker 3 19:55

they you know China, Russia, they actually didn't have a big problem with UFO research again. Because it transcends these things. Yeah. Okay. So, the the let me see if I get my my my message back here. The government, all the governments of the world, many with no effort, and they're they're just not in it. They're just not in the game. It's okay. They don't want to be. They're outside. But of all the governments in the world that clearly have a stake in this issue, which is all the nuclear powers, all the major economies, any country that's got a significant army, one at least their head of state has been informed one way or another that yeah, this is real. Now, what you do about is another matter. Whether you can get any information is another matter. But do you know what's real? It's useful to them to know that, and it's very not that difficult. People in great with great power and great money can get that information, the key information. Maybe not where the the crash vehicles are housed, but the fact that there's non-human intelligence here, I think. And and and post disclosure, we're going to be startled at how many people knew. Certainly, at heads of state and some of our very wealthiest individuals. Highly on Elon, how you doing? Yeah. And so, what what we're doing is what we had to do as citizens, because initially there was no political basis at all, and so we did our own research. We did massive amounts of research, and the government. As long as we didn't press the government on the the issue of the embargo, which I was even called truth embargo was a term I came up with around 2002 Pushed it. I've never really it's it's on my website, but I've never really written something where kind of yeah,

S

Speaker 2 21:41

I love that term though. It's absolute truth. It really is. I got

S

Speaker 3 21:45

credit for that one. Yeah. Okay. Also, I came up with Disclosure Day in 2011 Actually, a couple of other fellers, but I promoted Disclosure Day in 2011 Whatever. And so they they tried to prove it, and God bless them. They felt that because you know people Americans back in the 50s and the 60s, by and large, certainly those eras, and going forward, really still trusted their government, and by and large, they felt if we get the proof on this, the government will go, "Wow, thank you, we're going to look into this, and boy, it would all happen. They it took a while, and then realized they could have proved this thing 20 times over. The government wasn't going to do squat.

S

Speaker 1 22:23

Yeah.

S

Speaker 3 22:23

All right. But so they built up this massive amount of evidence, which I think most people are going. I I got I got to see the next video from the from the the DoD. I got all of this stuff has been researched to death. They there's hundreds of books they could go read right now. Right read right now that would tell them vastly more than what they're getting in this political underway. Yeah, and I hope that those books end up in colleges and libraries and get taught in school because they may not have had the academic prima mater, but they had they were well done and they were extraordinary. Absolutely, and then activism, journalism, we did it all, and still the truth embargo didn't end. What was needed was a clear activist movement, and that is what I saw when I decided to do this in '96. When I relocated back to Washington, and I said, "Is there a true activist movement on this? And the answer was no. There wasn't activist. Now, somebody that wants it to happen. Somebody that's writing a letter to the newspaper. I get that. I'm talking about an activist movement. Now, the problem I had is that an activist movement is not a cheap thing to create, and I didn't have any money, and so, and I knew that, and it wasn't going to be easy to get money. But I said, okay, I got a free place to stay, and I'm in Washington, so I'll just do things that will, in in one way or another, advance an activist movement. And that's why registers the lobbyist, the first one, because there never been a lobbyist. And the message was, well, if there's a lobbyist, there must be something to this, right? And if there's a lobbyist, well, that means that the political people might be talked talked to. Well, I couldn't talk to them. They wouldn't talk to me, right? I mean, I could have really annoyed them and wandered the halls until eventually I became a nethaba. Yeah, I wasn't going to do that, but I really hit the media hard. I mean, I just pounded the media on this issue, and I I take some responsibility for the fact that since 1996 something like. like maybe 716, 17,000 articles in mainstream publications about this issue come out. Well, that I have archived, and probably another 15 or 20,000 that I I didn't get access to or whatever, and so I did that, and then the you know you create a website, and then you start talking about it politically, politically, politically. Now, Stephen Greer was doing something similar in the early '90s because he was dealing with government. They had reached out to him when they found out he was taking some pretty important people out for CE 5s and they're going, wait a minute, so and so was out there with a CE five. What the hell's going on here? And so, you know, I think they did the right thing. Rather than you know arrest him, put him in jail, they they brought him in. They said, you know, come talk. And so he got a lot of access. And so he's engaging in essentially the the the situation in D.C. And 2001 press conference in May was somewhat political, no question. It was also very much evidential, but they went. They went. He and a number of the witnesses went and toured the halls of the White House, and this was in the halls of Congress, rather trying to you know have little chats. And this was 2001 so I don't think the members of Congress were rushing out in the hall to talk to them. But still, so he he was an early activist. No question.

S

Speaker 2 25:38

He was absolutely

S

Speaker 3 25:39

and researcher and everything else, but not quite in the way that I had in mind, and and that is, I don't burden myself with having to do research. I've got the money or the time. I've never had an experience, contact, seen anything ever, so I don't have any bias there. I'm not going to go in that direction. I'm just going to bug the media until eventually the Congress may start opening up a little bit and do and do conferences like the X conference, which is political activism away because it was in D.C. area. Absolutely, one at the press club. The whole press conference was there, and then the citizen hearing on disclosure is the most political activist thing I did with the help of several people. But it was my idea. I got the funding and and did the citizen hearing on disclosure in the May was it may 9 to June three or June 29 to whatever. It was in 2013 and it was at the National Press Club main ballroom. It cost a lot of money.

S

Speaker 1 26:37

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 26:38

42 witnesses, 10 countries, six members of Congress on the panel, and 30 hours of testimony. A DVD copy of of all of that provided to every single office on the Hill, and some of them may be still sitting in a drawer somewhere. So that was kind of the the peak of my activism, and it was all done leading up to the election that was coming, which was which we I had and most people had a very strong feeling that Hillary Clinton was going to become the first woman president, and of course she and her husband have a connection to this issue along with John Podesta all the way back to '93. Already articles have been written about it, and so my job I saw was to ensure that this the last 1415 months of his campaign was not was not going to be ET poor, right? And over 400 articles, 400 articles were written in the last 16 months about the UAP issue, the vast majority of which referred to Secretary Clinton, and they're up on my website. And that was that. That to me was it. That was 19. That was 2000 and basically 1516, my 40th year, and I'm saying this is it, this is it. Well, when it comes to major historical processes, and you're an activist, you really want to avoid getting to that "this is it" territory,

S

Speaker 2 28:04

yeah?

S

Speaker 3 28:04

Because you're going to suffer if you do. And so, when she lost the election, pretty much everything I had been working on for 20 years was flattened. And I went into a deep depression. Of course, I do have medications, thank God. And I had to leave the country. I was so upset. I left the country. I just didn't want to hear anything. I went to the UK, which I love, and London rather. And I'm from writing the you know the underground and and and and meeting new friends. I did that for almost eight months before I came back and started over. But fortunately, I came back a few months after the Two of the Stars Academy came out, and the New York Times articles were printed, and I realized, okay, these the men that did this and led by Tom DeLonge had the guts because they they want they were going to do this when Clinton was president, maybe even during the transition, right? Trump was a whole different thing.

S

Speaker 2 29:07

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 29:07

and they had the guts to go forward anyway. They waited about eight months. They came out, I think, in October, and off we go. And so now my job was to kind of start over and try to help that get involved, whatever, just help advance things coinciding with that. Did I think it would take 10 more years? No, I did not. But the political situation in those 10 years really got problematic, difficult, taking. Taking up enormous amounts of political time and journalistic time, and a political people that like I've never seen, and I you know I paid very close attention to the Nixon era and all that kind of stuff.

S

Speaker 1 29:54

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 29:54

and so it slowed it down. It slowed it down.

S

Speaker 2 29:56

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 29:57

and then, all right. It turned, and the reason it turned, which again in 1000 years I could not have predicted this, the reason it turned is the president that won the election in 16 that meant that this issue basically just flatlined almost right away. Yep, went on to have a term. The movement was kind of advancing a little bit, all right. And under the Biden administration, we had some of those hearings. A lot of things were happening, all right. But the president wasn't getting involved. Biden wasn't going to touch it with a 10-foot pole. Yep. And then Donald Trump comes back as president, and in a totally different frame of mind, in a totally different way, and so when he comes back, it's Donald Trump unchained, and the result of that is that he and to some degree his you know his immediate circle and administration and cabinet, they could give a crap about Truman's decision to to start this embargo, or Eisenhower's decision to keep it, and all the other presidents that couldn't touch it. They could care less. They they have other issues to deal with, so having a problem over that issue, they don't care, and so consequently, they they got into it, and then the president got into it, and the president's cabinet got into it, and the president's family got into it, and that had never happened before. And I believe it's very much the result of the nature of the man, his philosophy, his worldview, what he's like, that made him impossible him to do what other highly esteemed presidents wouldn't do, and that now is taken us right to the edge. Disclosure is on the doorstep. It's we just need to open a screen door, take the package in. Yeah, that's the best metaphor I've ever come up with. But and and so I'll finish with this,

S

Speaker 1 32:04

please.

S

Speaker 3 32:05

I've said this many times that people need stop focusing on what you're going to get next, what video you're going to get next, whatever. It's not about that. That these things happening are are happening in somewhat controlled in a way. I mean, they're they're they're not throwing the doors open, but what is happening is still within a certain range to do what? To inform you immediately of what's going on? No, obviously not. But to allow the process to go forward, where this legislation is passed, this committee is formed, that hearing is held, the president's you know son says this. Yeah, the president does this, and what? And we're walking forward until the the great political class and the great economic, you know, class, which you know rules as much of this country almost as the politicians, and to some degree the public get to a point where they're going, okay, they can do this now.

S

Speaker 2 33:01

Yeah. All

S

Speaker 3 33:02

right. Yeah. When I say do this, I'm talking about the president coming out and disclose. If if a member cabinet comes out and says, "Yeah, we're not alone, that is not disclosure. It will create some news.

S

Speaker 2 33:13

Yep.

S

Speaker 3 33:13

And it could create a serious political problem. But it already has

S

Speaker 2 33:16

created news. They've already said the things I've seen would keep you up at night.

S

Speaker 3 33:21

Well, no, but I'm saying he came out and said, "I know for a yeah, because you know several people have said that.

S

Speaker 2 33:26

No, sure.

S

Speaker 3 33:26

I mean, Bush has kind of said that. Yeah, but he's not the Secretary of State.

S

Speaker 2 33:31

Yeah.

 Speaker 3 33:31
Okay. And so, but ultimately, it has to be the president, unless the president confirms it and then follows it up with some directives. The ability to get

 Speaker 1 33:42
it all, to

 Speaker 3 33:42
bring and to stop the bullshit,

 Speaker 2 33:45
yeah,

 Speaker 3 33:45
the the lies, the you know the propaganda, the games,

 Speaker 2 33:49
yeah,

 Speaker 3 33:49
and you know any threats or whatever to stop that. The president must disclose, and either he's going to be first, or he'll be second or third, depending you know if some other head of states end this embargo,

 Speaker 2 34:01
yeah.

S

Speaker 3 34:02

Which I would prefer my president did that, and your president did that.

S

Speaker 1 34:05

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 34:06

president in America, I think would benefit if we end the embargo and then do it right.

S

Speaker 2 34:12

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 34:13

and follow up correctly. But you know, I mean, case could be made. No, it might be better if the UK did it. So, but that's that's how that's my operating. As long as

S

Speaker 2 34:22

it's done, I'm happy. Yeah, you know, and and I I have said for the longest time there there have been some very very interesting disclosures with Donald Trump as president. We got the JFK files while he was president, and and a lot of that is because yeah, he is he is not somebody who has been mired in the halls of Congress for 20 years before he was elected. He didn't come from the world of political perch or something like that, you know. So yeah, it allows him to sidestep a lot of those things, and like you said, just kind of say, "Damn the torpedoes. Let's. Let's go do this because the

S

Speaker 3 35:02

reason we got the JFK files now is because they need decades enormous political implications.

 Speaker 1 35:12
Yeah, yeah,

 Speaker 3 35:13
all kinds of problems.

 Speaker 2 35:16
Same thing with the new. Yeah, same thing with the new MK Ultra. The new MK Ultra panel, same way. The

 Speaker 3 35:24
reason we haven't gotten the Epstein files is because that's recent.

 Speaker 1 35:28
Yep,

 Speaker 3 35:29
and it has enormous political implications. Huge now. Huge. We've entered a time when we're starting to see transparency and honesty starting to be appreciated far more. The the populist demand for truth documents in a timely fashion definitely greater than it's ever been, and of course with the internet and all the other tech that we have now, the ability for people to display their interest or or irritation is now magnified way above you know 60 years ago, you really upset. You wrote a letter to the paper, and they probably didn't print it. Now you can go on your podcast and tell 100,000 500,000 people.

 Speaker 2 36:07
Yeah.

S

Speaker 3 36:08

So we may be about to enter an age where all of a sudden, telling the truth, getting stuff out, being forthright, candid, and if there's a problem for you, you know, apologize profusely, ask or forget, whatever. This could be kind of what could come from this. Yeah, but you know, I don't want to get too carried away here. I basically, I just want disclosure.

S

Speaker 2 36:31

Well, you know, it's it's interesting because as somebody, the one thing I tell my wife, all kinds of people, is that we are connected in a way that we have never been-not just as humanity, but as communities in this country. You know, when when Roswell happened, the the anniversary just passed of Roswell. When that happened, maybe you heard something on the radio, but those headlines didn't hit all across the country. People in Poughkeepsie didn't necessarily get that news in 1947. You know what I mean? God

S

Speaker 3 37:07

knows. So a lot of articles, but they were just in small town papers, little paper,

S

Speaker 2 37:11

exactly. Not the big

S

Speaker 3 37:12

ones, really. I think I think maybe some New York Times, but overall, yeah, it's over now. And the paper goes into the bird cage.

S

Speaker 2 37:18

Yep,

S

Speaker 3 37:19

that was 47. Now that's why the truth embargo is going to be easy to start,

 Speaker 2 37:23
yeah,

 Speaker 3 37:23
and and easy to manage.

 Speaker 2 37:24
Exactly.

 Speaker 3 37:25
But the day the internet showed up,

 Speaker 2 37:27
yeah,

 Speaker 3 37:28
and email showed up, websites, basically the truth embargo's days were numbered,

 Speaker 2 37:35
yeah. And

S

Speaker 3 37:35

then as you get broadcast radio online, and you got the podcast, the idea that anybody at the DoD or some defense contractor is sitting there going, you know, if we just hold out a little longer, they'll lose interest, or you know, we can handle this. No, they can't. They're getting crucified and barbecued, and you know, they're basically defense people. They they're not bad people, but they're they're tied to this truth embargo historically, and they they personally can't get out of it because the only way they get out of it is if the president of the United States ends it.

S

Speaker 2 38:07

Well, and you know it's interesting because amongst all of those things, and I'm bringing the article up right now. All of this started from the Leslie Keen Blumenthal article, you know the the big article in New York Times era, absolutely this era,

S

Speaker 3 38:22

that article, plus plus the To the Stars Academy, absolutely, they were they were concurrent. Started engaging the governor.

S

Speaker 2 38:29

Yeah, and and right along that was Lou Elizondo. I think it was like December 23 2017 Went on CNN with the famous clips. All those things happen pretty well concurrently, but this article came out probably about a year or so ago, talking. Yeah, there it is, June 6, 2020-five So right at a year ago, Pentagon disinformation that fueled America's UFO mythology. Like these are the things I talk about regularly. We're like they used us and the communities and social like they got rid of the psyop officer that comes up with the cover programs for Black Budget. They were like, "Why would we do that? They're writing the stories for us. Just follow them on social media, and we'll use that as cover.

S

Speaker 3 39:17

Yeah, let me provide some context,

S

Speaker 1 39:18

please.

S

Speaker 3 39:20

There's going to be the odd story like the Wall Street Journal.

S

Speaker 2 39:22

Oh sure,

S

Speaker 3 39:24

and I'm talking about major papers, but not many, very very few. Okay, for whatever reason, as we know, there's been pushback. Right, there's a number of ways that those operating behind the curtain have been able to push back, like the lobbyists that came in and gutted the UAP disclosure bill. Their names weren't published, but we knew pretty much that that's what was going on. There's going to be some of that. This is another example. Now, why it happened, I don't know. All I know is that a reporter, two reporters, were given. Story.

S

Speaker 2 40:00

Yeah.

S

Speaker 3 40:01

Now, no one is bothered to how would you say dig into this to find out did the New York did the Wall Street Journal reach out reach out to the DoD and say hey we'd like to do a story what's going on or did the DoD reach out to the Wall Street Journal and say yeah we've got some information might make a nice story for you send a couple of reports I don't yeah

S

Speaker 2 40:19

yeah

S

Speaker 3 40:20

but one the lead reporter on that interviewed me for 40 minutes, and I was cool and everything, and I didn't say anything. and And it's only now that I'm I'm mentioning this, but not trying to be you know a nasty guy. I deeply suspected what was going on? The questions I was being asked, the reactions were not what you expect. I'm not a major witness, you know. Not interesting. Yeah, the major witness they were after was Robert Salas. Oh wow! Right, but I was connected to Robert Salas. One of the reasons he got in touch with me is he wanted to and whatever. And so he gave me an interview, and I'm going. I didn't like it. Okay, so the the story comes out now. Is it a grotesque embarrassment to the Wall Street Journal? Absolutely. Is it a noble attempt by the DoD possibly to solve slow this thing down? Yeah, right. It's like running out in front of a bus and saying stop. Right. I mean, I'm just leaving. Stop as the bus is like five feet away, so no good. It it was embarrassing. The again, one of the reasons the truth embargo is failing is that it's so grotesque now and so beyond its shelf life that any effort to try to do something blows up in their face. Yeah, it's like the whole gutting of the the UAP Act was everybody found out about it. It was all kinds of news, and so you know everybody was pissed off at the defense contractors and Vodka and all that stuff. It was not much of a win, and this one, okay, in order to in order to debunk some of the stuff that's coming in, the Pentagon made the decision to out either create a non-existence or out a kind of a program or at least a certain things that went on because they were where they were having fun with pilots by telling them about stuff and giving them, you know, there's this or that or there's saucers in order to just so that they might spread that information, whatever the hell. And it was almost a prank. And I'm going, are you kidding me? If this is true, it's outrageous. And if it's not true, it's doubly outrageous. And so if I'm a pilot, I would. If I was a pilot that were actually victimized by that, they gave me some bullshit, so I could be sort of a unwitting agent. I would be angry, but what can you do? Right, nothing to do. And then, but that was just the opening thing was just ridiculous. Nobody got in with that. But then they got into what that article is about. I believe that that first part of the article was to to minimize the sense that what the article was absolutely going at. The reason for it is the Pentagon. Let me state this as emphatically as I can,

S

Speaker 1 43:10

please. The

S

Speaker 3 43:11

number one thing every national security official, officer, manager, right up into you know secretary offices, are afraid of in terms of hearings is the nuclear weapons tampering hearings. They've been waiting them out for 34 years since that came out, right? But basically since 1964 five, so we're talking 60 years, hoping they would all just die, and a lot of them did. The officers, there's only a couple left, and Robert Salas is one of them.

S

Speaker 2 43:54

Yep,

S

Speaker 3 43:55

and Robert Salas has been an activist on this issue, a one issue activist, which is those shutdowns and being able to testify on them. He's been 30-three years at it. There's almost nothing he hasn't done, and the fact that he and several of these other witnesses might testify in front of Congress. These are silo commanders. Yeah, we're not talking David Grush here. We're talking silo commanders. Yeah, these are members of the Air Force so solid. After being carefully reviewed and studied and worked with, they are prepared to put them in the bottom of that silo. And if two of them, if the two of them turn the key at the same time, the world comes to a rather unpleasant place. So it's not like you're picking these people random, right? Or giving some, you know, kind of a weird kind of guy, you know, officer there, give him a second chance. No, and so those people would be profound witnesses, and so they have just ghosted them. So what they've done is they don't make an effort to go. Him, they didn't. They they don't try to undermine him really, though. I think Bob had some issues early on, but they just they figure if they just don't say anything that somehow they'll never turn up in front of a committee. And even though there have been plenty of articles written about it and a major book by by Robert Hastings about it, UFOs and nukes, and a documentary about it. They've managed to get to this day, 60-two and three years after the two best known shutdown events at Malmstrom and Minot, but with other evidence confirming other shutdown events, including the Soviet Union, they managed to get where it still is not in front of a hearing now.

S

Speaker 2 45:42

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 45:43

Bob has been one of my major projects. Done everything I can. I I submitted all of the state the the legal statements. What do you call them? My favorite. What you call anyway? These are the

S

Speaker 2 45:55

affidavits.

S

Speaker 3 45:57

Yeah, affidavits and statements from eight or nine nuclear witnesses. Every single member of the Intelligence Committee of the House Oversight Committee, and the the House and the Senate Intelligence Committee sent them in. You know, handed it out. What can you do? I've done some emailing and so forth. I also worked. I you know I I the Tyler Robinson helped me get, or I helped Tolly Robinson get Bob Salas with a meeting with Nancy May. She was impressed. I did had some help in in getting a meeting for Bob Salas in front of Eric Bollson, who gave him a full out wonderful meeting, fully filmed. You could find it online. Bob was very meticulous, a little slow describing it, but that's another thing that Eric has done so much that I think history is going to be very, very generous with him.

S

Speaker 2 46:47

Yeah.

S

Speaker 3 46:47

So, but still, but those things were happening. He's on the hill. He's meeting with Macy's. meeting with Burroughs. I'm spreading all this stuff to these committees, and so somebody in the Pentagon said, you know, we got to do something, or they're gonna, you know, I mean, you know, make them disappear like McCaslin. That's another interesting story. Oh my God, I have no idea what's going on there, but I'll tell you, of all the people that they're putting out there, is like, oh, they did something to him. I have no idea about them, but McCaslin, they're gonna get to the bottom of that. I got a feeling if they ever do, there's gonna be a lot of serious outcomes set up. In any event,

S

Speaker 1 47:25

yeah.

S

Speaker 3 47:25

So, so what they did is that they decided we're gonna, and I think they, I think they, they approached the Wall Street Journal, and so the second half of the article was designed specifically to undermine Boba Salas. He wrote a a very strong letter back to the Wall Street. Of course, didn't publish it.

S

Speaker 2 47:44

Yep.

S

Speaker 3 47:45

But his paper Ohi did, and undermine him, and at the same time undermine the whole idea that these nuclear weapons were shut down by Kraft over the thing by putting forward. Again, I, you know, I think back to you know Colonel Haynes when he came out in '97, because the the the Roswell thing was really exploding, and they had done the mogul balloon thing, and they thought they had it under control, and the body started coming into play, and they were having to deal with that, and so they held this press conference, a big press conference over the Pentagon with about 7080, journalists, and tried to you know get bring down the body issue by stating that what people said they saw were not four foot gray entities. You know, they were test

S

Speaker 2 48:32

dummies,

S

Speaker 3 48:32

five six foot crash test dummies in an Air Force uniforms five years later. And when asked by a reporter how is that, they said, "Well, we call it time compression. I mean, this is this would make a sitcom. I mean, this is funny. Yeah, this is almost at that level. So they're saying that what was going on is the government built a huge apparatus in order to test whether these missiles could be affected by outside intrusion, right? Because they have very substantial systems, and that these things were kind of off to the side or something, and the and and nobody knew about it. And the guys that were the security guys mistook it for a a you know craft overhead, and this was published. Yeah. Now, if I'm the Wall Street Journal, well, you know they have to maintain maintain you know, they have to maintain ties to the Department of Defense. Obviously, sure, but man, if I was a Wall Street Journal, I'd get a couple of those DoD guys at least privately, and just roast them. Say this is not going anywhere. This is between us and you, and roast them because this is it's a defense to every American, to our government, defense to our policies. So that was recent. Now there hasn't been one like that since.

S

Speaker 1 49:48

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 49:48

there's been a couple of debunkers who are putting out the odd article here, but nothing since. It's coming into the mainstream media that even remotely looks like some stupid effort to. Yeah, undermine this process.

S

Speaker 2 50:05

Yeah.

S

Speaker 3 50:05

So that's good, and I and I don't expect any more. I mean, I I pity the poor paper, a major paper, that decides let's give it one more shot. Yeah. We will explain Roswell all over again. But based on a different end. Yeah. Okay. Based upon documents we found in the basement of one of our reporters, whose father worked in the Air Force in authority, whatever the hell. Let's hope they don't do that.

S

Speaker 2 50:31

Yeah. Well, and and that's just it. You know, like you're saying, to to see that what would be pretty close to obvious propaganda by the government when when you are taking cover stories and pushing them out to the public for things like that. There's no other definition to that other than propaganda being disseminated to the American public. Well,

S

Speaker 3 50:52

it's it's more than just propaganda. I mean, propaganda has changed people's minds and so forth, so that they think a little differently and they're less difficult to work with. This is a definite intervention.

S

Speaker 2 51:04

Yeah. All

S Speaker 3 51:05
right. It's more than just propaganda. It's a specific intervention to try to stop something from happening.

S Speaker 2 51:09
Yeah.

S Speaker 3 51:10
So it's like it's like calling somebody up and threatening to kill them.

S Speaker 2 51:14
Yep.

S Speaker 3 51:14
If they testify before Congress. Yeah. That the statement threatening to kill we will kill you is not propaganda, and that's kind of what that is. You know, they're trying to intercede. Now, it's not that bad. Well, publicly muscle him without anybody

S Speaker 1 51:29
knowing.

S Speaker 2 51:29
Yeah, yeah, and and you know when you when you look at things like that, when you look at these issues, because it was brought up not only in the whistleblower testimonies but also in a separate hearing, all about drones that Lou Elizondo was in. I remember we had to emergency record an update to an episode that we had recorded with someone about the drones because there was a whole. I was during the episode record. I was like, guaranteed. After all of this, within two or three days, there will be an emergency hearing about funding for drones, and sure enough, there was, and it was Lou Elizondo, and they asked him, "Why aren't we having a bigger conversation?"

 Speaker 1 52:09
Yeah,

 Speaker 2 52:10
and and he said because that would belie the fact that we have gaping holes over our nuclear facilities and other sensitive sites, and oddly enough, right after that, what did we see drones all over sensitive sites?

 Speaker 3 52:23
Well, okay, you're right. Yeah. Well, maybe this way.

 Speaker 2 52:26
Yeah.

 Speaker 3 52:26
Most of the drones were seen over civilian areas. There were some that got over some bases. Sure. But let let me sum up that in a few words. Now, this is opinion of mine, which is purely an opinion.

 Speaker 2 52:38
Same.

 Speaker 3 52:39
I can't prove it, but boy, I got a real strong feeling about it, and that is this: that whole thing was about the fact that one of the ways extraterrestrials, as I believe they are, are able to move around, or remotely or in person, okay,

S

Speaker 2 53:01

yep,

S

Speaker 3 53:02

is they have their own drones. Only they're not like our drones. They are orbs. They are some kind of manipulated, highly complex or manipulatable plasma effects. And these orbs can go anywhere they want, do anything you want. You can't shoot them down. And that's been going on for a long time, all the way back to the Foo Fighters in World War Two, where these orbs, these plasma orbs, were following planes around, not hurting them, right? And the pilots were reporting them all the time. But what are you going to do? Start researching that? You're actually in the middle of a world war. It's been on forever. Okay, and so, so how does the government deal with that? And when when a when a significant flap of

S

Speaker 1 53:42

orbs.

S

Speaker 3 53:43

Again, I'm not talking about craft. They turn up here and there and whatever. And there have been some craft flaps, but this is an orb flap. And so I think the government did the only thing they could do is start launching human orbs up into this stuff, right? And then so you could say, "Yo, that's an orb. Screw that. Here's a drone, right? And it just kind of dilutes the thing, and that's what this is all about. And so I really don't give a damn about any drone drones flying over a base, other than they should shoot them down, right? If it's if it's a security facility, yeah. Hell, if you fly a drone near an airport, you can go to jail for a couple of years. One just nearly hit a plane. But I don't care about that. I care about the plasma orbs that were filmed by 1000s of people with their wonderful cameras as they moved all across the country for like eight months, and that film is in their cameras right now. If the government wanted to, they could they could request that all of their orb films be sent to them so they could you amalgamate it and and see how powerful it is, but

S

Speaker 2 54:43

yeah, going to

S

Speaker 3 54:43

do that. And the people have it; they show them off. But let me tell you, when the orb flap occurred, this wasn't 1953 or four.

S

Speaker 2 54:52

Nope,

S

Speaker 3 54:52

this was 2000 and I know that it was 2023 I forget what that was.

S

Speaker 2 54:57

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 54:57

and 98% of the people. In the country, had high quality cameras in their hands all the time, right? You know, in the old days, it was like something would happen, and there'd be a camera in the trunk of the car, and you wouldn't even go to the trunk of the car for whatever reason. Now it's right in your hand; they're filming everything, and I'm going, you know. But and the the embargo people are going, it's okay, it's okay. Yeah, there's millions of cameras out there that can film anything. I don't just say it's fuzzy or deny it, and I just laugh. So that's kind of what that was all about. So don't focus on the drones. Believe me, drone. No, that's

S

Speaker 2 55:32

just it. Utterly, utterly distraction story. Utterly distraction story from the topic at hand because that was happening in between two different whistleblower testimonies, it happened like within like there was like a three week gap between the first two hearings, and it was during that.

S

Speaker 3 55:51

And you ask me why why was there this huge drone orb thing that went on for so many months that that kind of started out in the Pacific where they were flying around,

S

Speaker 2 56:01

yeah,

S

Speaker 3 56:01

you know, ships, right, and not being shot down, yeah. Why weren't they? Why weren't those guns blasting away? Because one way or another, the captain in that ship was informed, don't bother,

S

Speaker 1 56:13

yeah,

S

Speaker 3 56:13

you you can't do it, and so and it moves. How? Why did that happen? And I, I have this, you know, a hunch that the ETs are not neutral parties in this disclosure process. They've never been neutral parties in this disclosure process. If they didn't want their presence to be known, the last thing you're going to do is fly over a nuclear missile base and turn off all the weapons.

S

Speaker 2 56:35

Yeah, turn

S

Speaker 3 56:36

off all the missiles. I mean, that's going to attract a lot of attention unless government really works to keep, so I think they've never been neutral on this, and I think things were kind of moving forward, but getting stalled, and you know, kind of not going. and And I think that whole plasma orb flap thing was just a way of goose goose the process. I could be wrong. One day, I hope to ask and find out.

S

Speaker 2 56:58

Well, and you know, the the whole goosing of the process to begin with, I think is is huge. That phrase

S

Speaker 3 57:05

dated me.

S

Speaker 2 57:06

It's it's hugely important though, hugely important because you know once again it's the fact of if we if we take this conversation back here in the last couple minutes to the idea that even now, even in this modern day and age, with all the shows, with everything else, we are looking at a good just under 50% of the American populace. Like whenever you look at polls, a good 60% of people say, "Yeah, aliens exist, but there's still a large population that this is not even amongst those people. This is not a point of conversation.

S

Speaker 3 57:40

But that doesn't matter. That does not matter. Look, back in the early 19th, 20th century, the vast majority, 90-9% of the people in the world didn't know what the hell quantum physics or quantum mechanics was. So the idea was, well, we can't go there. We don't want to talk about that. Let's don't get out there. No, this kind of stuff at this level, this level of history and so forth is not a democratic process, right? And so the fact that maybe 50% of the people are still clueless, I don't. That doesn't bother me in the slightest. They will learn soon enough. Oh no, that's

S

Speaker 2 58:12

that's what this is: is a setup for them to onboard to the conversation. Because, like you're saying, we can't just rip the bandaid off. This is something that political processes have to take place. There's all kinds of things that we have to peel back layers of an onion to get to the sweet inside.

S

Speaker 3 58:29

Well, yeah, but we've already done that, and the bandaid needs to come off, right? I mean, it's been 80 years,

S

Speaker 4 58:35

yeah.

S

Speaker 3 58:35

So it's not like you know we we've been you know just rip the thing off. We've been slowly peeling it off for the last 80 years, and now it needs to come off. And as I said, one of the this whole thing about many most people don't get the issue. So what? But it was used all the time, and by the by the truth embargo people. You know, most of the people don't even believe this. Why are you wasting your time? Yeah, it's it's it's not it's not useful. It's not productive. Blah blah blah blah. And again, I never bought in any of that because I didn't. I didn't have to. Again, I'm a independent activist. I don't have to buy into anything. I don't want to buy into.

S

Speaker 2 59:12

Well, and and properly good for you because that is that is how you once again stay on the road of truth. That is how you keep yourself away from the fact of being beholden to somebody else or to somebody else's money in that kind of way, there are there are a lot of ways that really good missions can be usurped in these communities. So good on you for keeping things proper and pure. I mean, a whole point of this show, Stephen, is the fact of in this community, in numerous adjunct communities, there are plenty of people digging rabbit holes around the pond and kicking dirt up. Yeah, we've got to drink from that pond eventually. So some of us have to start filtering that water. You know what I mean? So the idea of. Mystifying these things-the idea of having literally just candid conversations with people like you who have been entrenched in this for 30 plus years-is massively important. Massively important.

S

Speaker 3 1:00:15

One more thing, please. Almost done.

S

Speaker 2 1:00:17

Whatever, whatever time you need, you take.

S

Speaker 3 1:00:20

Oh, but you don't. You have somebody else coming on in a second.

S

Speaker 2 1:00:22

That is a pre-record guest. My second guest is always pre-recorded, so if the conversation's good, nobody's waiting in the shoot.

S

Speaker 3 1:00:28

We can continue.

S

Speaker 2 1:00:30

Please,

S

Speaker 3 1:00:31

I want to say this for those that perhaps too easily hate the government.

S

Speaker 2 1:00:37

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:00:38

and overlook so much of the important good stuff that the government makes for us, but again, does bad stuff and is they're mostly carrying around. Hey, you see, what do you think? I hate the gam thing, but didn't they do this last week? Yeah, that was okay, but I hate. Look, I mean, it's 30 years. I have never been bothered, harassed, or anything. I think my phone was tapped for a while back around. I don't know, 9998 It was. I got a telephone bill that was very strange, but I've never been asked, threatened, or anything else. I've never been asked in any way to put out a narrative that wasn't something that I was, you know, into. I never manipulated. I just never have. Now it may be that I'm just not that important. I'm a big deal. I'm not. I'm a small thing. I'm a boutique activist, but I think what that says is they. I think ultimately the consensus in the government is people need to learn. Get this.

S

Speaker 2 1:01:34

Yeah.

S

Speaker 3 1:01:34

But they have a number of reasons why they felt it was not good, and then later on it became a bit of a problem because after so many years of the truth embargo, obviously it was going to be a bit embarrassing, right, for many people,

S

Speaker 1 1:01:47

yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:01:48

and so forth. And ultimately, I think that is where most of the government is. I do not think it is because the ETs are threatening to blow us all up if they do it, I don't think that is a factor. I don't think there's some super dark. I used to have this conversation with Bell. We'd have the awful truth conversation. He'd bring up some awful truth that somebody told him, right? This is what's going on, and then I would try to debunk this awful truth. Yeah,

S

Speaker 2 1:02:15

absolutely.

S

Speaker 3 1:02:15

I don't think there is this awful truth there. I never have, and it almost doesn't matter now if there's an awful truth. We're about to learn it, and and I what I've always said is if there was an awful truth, I I don't think anybody could make the case to me why 8 billion people who have who are independent entities with what some people believe souls and so forth facing something awful could be deliberately kept in the dark about it by their leaders who they're paying,

S

Speaker 2 1:02:55

yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:02:55

right. And depending upon the nature of the awfulness, are in a position to know. Well, I kind of know about this awfulness, and I think I'm going to build a giant bunker under my house in New Zealand. Right? Unfortunately, you all don't know, so you whatever that it justified if something awful is coming, all 8 billion people have should know. I think it's a fundamental, a matter of natural law. Yeah. And now, if some of them choose to go nuts, act out, go crazy, get depressed. I I understand that, but you know, we're all going to die.

S

Speaker 2 1:03:28

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:03:28

right. And so the idea being kept in you know treated this way because well, it looks like you're going to die sooner than you thought because we know something connected to this issue. That's why we can't tell you. Well, the whole thing is leaking out anyway. Yeah, and so it's a bad look for the human race. It's, I think, a an insult to the integrity of the human race. And so no one has ever convinced me that there is anything that would justify this embargo.

S

Speaker 2 1:03:56

Well, and you know

S

Speaker 3 1:03:57

now in the early days, nuclear war risk. Yeah, but that became no longer relevant because we're going to have the war unless we do this.

S

Speaker 2 1:04:05

Well, and you know that's interesting because the the the last whistleblower panel that happened, George Knapp was a part of. Number one, I loved the fact that there was an actual sitting master sergeant or Master Chief from the Navy, like still active duty, that was allowed to come and talk. That was pretty incredible. But the one I keep referring back to is George Knapp because his specific statement was regarding the contractors. Regarding he was like, "God bless the contractors. They have done their job. They've done the job that they were contracted by the government to do to take these materials, research them, hide them, and tell nobody about them. But after 6070, years, 80 years of these materials being locked away in Bass, Bigelow, wherever they are, you. You got to be looking for some kind of onboarding for other people because when it comes to scientific research, when it comes to this, that cannot happen in a vacuum, and it can only happen in a compartmentalized way. So long, we got we got good stealth technology because of competition for the Have Blue program. We got we got robots on Mars with the speed that we did because of healthy scientific competition. The only way to make this stuff work for humanity in that kind of way is to open it up so that these these contractors can talk with each other, research together, things like that. So,

S

Speaker 3 1:05:34

well, that that argument about the fact that open tech, even extremely profound tech,

S

Speaker 2 1:05:39

yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:05:40

will develop faster is is relatively new. I I don't recall hearing that 30 years ago. I

S

Speaker 2 1:05:46

yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:05:46

but this is kind of new, and it shows a candidness which is important. But it put yourself in the the shoes of the defense contractors.

S

Speaker 2 1:05:53

Absolutely,

S

Speaker 3 1:05:53

they they got a super secret project. You're getting paid lots of money. Yeah, where comes real good money? They're getting paid lots of money, real good money. Of course, they're developing proprietary technological and scientific knowledge of enormous value. Which, if they could have monetized it, say maybe 20 years ago, they might have made a trillion by now. But nevertheless, it's not a bad situation, and so, and I think particularly the potential downstream earnings that they could come from any proprietary positions they had in ET-related technology was enough for them to go like that. Not yet, not yet, and and to try to gut that bill. So I kind of understand them. Remember, they serve their shareholders and they're a contractor to the country, and so I don't. I don't expect Lockheed Martin to end the truth embargo. Not that they could.

S

Speaker 2 1:06:45

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:06:46

it's up to the president to do it. But what the person up there at almost the level of the president, which was the chairman of the intelligence committee, Schumer, gang of eight, highest clearance.

S

Speaker 2 1:07:01

Yep,

S

Speaker 3 1:07:02

he he he he he and Rounds created the bill and put eminent domain in there. Basically, what they were saying, you know, we need to move forward, and it's time to transition out of this split arrangement, which was done to maximize security and whatever the hell. Yeah, get the resources of their their engineers and scientists. We need to transition out, and he puts that in there, and they reacted almost immediately. And what irritated me about that, and it never came up. It wasn't coming up with the articles. weren't writing about it. It was never in any article about this whole eminent domain clash that led to the gutting of that bill,

S

Speaker 2 1:07:41

yeah.

S

Speaker 3 1:07:42

Because and a lot of people don't don't understand eminent domain. I think most do, and when the government takes eminent domain, now whether it's different when you're talking about class, I mean national security classified tax, I don't know. But I'm just going to say the basic concept of eminent domain is the government must give fair value for it. Okay, now fair value could be okay. You're going to give this to us, and we are going to control. We are going to control what happens when when eminent domain is takes a portion of somebody's land because a highway has to be built. What they're doing is saying we are going to pay you fair value for this land because we are now going to decide how it's used, and so that ultimately was what was that bill was about. Schumer was saying the government needs to be making the decision going forward on this science and technology, not you, right? Because well, we're the government representing the entire country and interfacing with the rest of the world. Europe, just some high-tech companies, and almost certainly, once eminent domain was instituted, and this stuff was now, how would you say that connection was broken, and now that the government controls it, that doesn't mean the tech has moved out of their facilities. I mean, we control it. The government then decides, and probably is going to decide. Okay, we want to do research here. We want to do research there, and you do that, and we'll pay you. Okay. Yeah. And that that payment and that money and that revenue increases your shareholder value, and that is that is not unreasonable at all. But that was never brought up. It was like, you know, we're taking the tech away from them, and they did all the work and blah blah blah. And it's Emma Domain is well known. That irritated me. Okay, but I think some of these chairmen of the boards of these companies, it wasn't just about making more money from doing more research, they tried to imagine, or they had some dreams. What would the equity value be?

S

Speaker 2 1:09:47

Oh, absolutely, of

S Speaker 3 1:09:48
a priority position or a a a you know an actual majority position in Anna Gravity. Remembers you. Have the rights to it,

S Speaker 2 1:10:01
yeah,

S Speaker 3 1:10:01
right, okay, or the rights to something you made from it. So that is yours, and you start putting the price to that. And the next thing you know, the company's worth \$3 trillion They, I think, they couldn't let go of that, and you know, their job is to serve the shareholders

S Speaker 2 1:10:17
absolutely first.

S Speaker 3 1:10:18
Believe it or not, and then public centers second. second, though there are laws to regulate that, but those laws seem to be not going away as opposed to whatever. And and so that's that was that episode. Yeah, but you know they're not evil, and they'll end up being involved after disclosure, and they'll end up making money, and they'll health as this tech, as you say, gets shared,

S Speaker 2 1:10:41
yeah,

S Speaker 3 1:10:42
they will speed up rapidly the technological outcomes of this, and there are a number of technologies we have got to get from

S

Speaker 1 1:10:50

that's just

S

Speaker 3 1:10:52

in order to solve a number of problems right now that are threatening entire civilization.

S

Speaker 2 1:10:56

That is quite literally what I have been saying for the last many years: is that if you want a reason for this stuff happening, it is because like there is only so long that this tech can sit on the shelves and not be making money and not have a return on investment. There's got to be a ROI at some point for billions of dollars of investment, whether it becomes a weapon for the military, what have you? There's got to be some sort of ROI for the billions of dollars of investment in this research.

S

Speaker 3 1:11:26

Let me give you a simple example of how you can break this down. One, when some people think of anti-grips, they think I can get from New York to London in four minutes, maybe instantaneously. Whatever you know, that's unbelievable. That's unbelievable. Think of the business in this, you know, and I can you can travel the world. Here's how I think of anti-gravitics. There's been a very big article published just yesterday on this. You can easily do some Google searches and find your AI searches. We have completely polluted near Earth and even a second level Earth. Unbelievable. unbelievable! I think it's 12, 15,000 active satellites. I don't know how many more that are interactive. 15, 18,000 There are hundreds of 1000s of pieces of this size and that size. Yeah. And in terms of just general debris, it's in the millions. Yeah. The entire atmosphere, outside of atmosphere, is a giant junkyard, and there is a concept called the Kessler phenomenon, right? Kessler syndrome. It's been represented in one major movie called Gravity. It's turned up in a couple of others. Little over dramatic in Gravity, but it's simply this: if a significant enough thing blows up, or an impact creates an even bigger impact, and enough stuff is scattered, there's not enough time to start repositioning a lot of the stuff up there in order to avoid it. Do you know that that the space the space lab

S

Speaker 2 1:13:07

yeah the space lab

S

Speaker 3 1:13:09

in the the space you know the space station up there yeah in one half year period they had to reposition it 50,000 times in order to avoid junk and so that stuff is going to scatter, and it's going to be

S

Speaker 1 1:13:23

a catastrophe.

S

Speaker 3 1:13:24

Okay, now you're going to have a much. In other words, you may not be able to fly to the moon anymore. I mean, it's not going to get through it. I mean, you can't hit something that's traveling 17,000 miles an hour, even if it's the size of a quarter and not something bad happening, and so we're we're going to have to make the damn you know spacecraft out of cement, and so here is what when I see anti-gravitics, and I've talked about this for a number of years. I don't do that slideshow anymore, but I'm bringing it back. Never heard a single person talk about this or write an article about it. The only way you're going to be able to clean up space around our planet, which we've polluted up in ways that even surpasses some of the garbage dumps in the self-esteem islands, I'm telling you, some of those are like you know 15 stories high, is we need an anti-gravity craft that can operate in space independent of gravity, which means is it can stand still, right? Move where, move whatever.

S

Speaker 5 1:14:26

Yep.

S

Speaker 3 1:14:26

All right. And so you take that, you take a bunch of these specially designed craft, and you put them above, right above pretty much all of the space debris, and they start working their way down and literally collecting it,

S

Speaker 2 1:14:41

yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:14:42

huge amounts of it. It not that you know, it's not a hard concept. It's pretty simple. It's a lot of ways that they can bring that in and slowly clean up the debris all the way down to the bottom of near Earth. Well, and obviously avoiding satellites.

S

Speaker 2 1:14:57

Well, and of course, if you have any bit. Technology, you don't you don't have all the blast material from stages of rockets. Well, yeah, no, he's like that too. But you know, that's a simple problem, right? You don't have that. Yeah, but yeah, the

S

Speaker 3 1:15:10

billion, the hundreds of million, the millions and millions of pieces up there got to be cleaned up. Absolutely,

S

Speaker 3 1:15:14

that won't do that. So, so, so you know, so you so somebody could say to the government, well, that would be a good thing. It would be great. Well, but there's we don't have any gravitics. We don't think it's possible.

S

Speaker 1 1:15:27

That you

S

Speaker 3 1:15:27

know, and and that's that's that's where I start to dissepate. You know, it's like you know, please,

S

Speaker 2 1:15:32

yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:15:32

right. Get another job. I don't know. Retire. You make good money. You got a nice pension. Get out of here, right. So that is one example of an extraordinary use of that tech, right? The way they can maneuver. If we can even come close to that, we can design a craft that will actually allow to gather up the space debris until it's all gone, and and I can go on. I can. There's four or five others. I don't. I think I'll go on. But these are the things that I talk about, and nobody talks

S

Speaker 6 1:16:03

about this.

S

Speaker 3 1:16:03

They talk in general mostly about well, energy prices will go down. Yeah, well, that's true. If we have an energy breakthrough, that's a big deal.

S

Speaker 2 1:16:11

Yeah, we we get into the point of like any gravitic turbines for for power generation. Sure, you know something like that. Where it's yeah, it's a frictionless motor now, so you can almost you could almost have eternal power at that point. The whole concept of perpetual motion, but but it would take any gravitic technology. It would take something like that to do. I can't

S

Speaker 3 1:16:35

imagine any other way they can do

S

Speaker 2 1:16:36

it. Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:16:37

what are they going to fly a you know put a satellite up there, and as they're cruising around, they they they put out a little extension and grab a piece and bring it back in. I mean, with anti gravitics, you could build huge craft.

S

Speaker 2 1:16:49

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:16:49

they could be substantial. They could be a half a mile of the product. Exactly. And they're out there in the outer system, and they're coming back in and just gathering stuff up like crazy, avoiding any active satellites, of course. And pretty soon we have a clear sky, and don't you have to worry about running into anything? But yeah, we're going to lose astronauts. Yep, we're going to lose space stations. People are going to die, and anybody that reads that article and asks themselves how in the hell did we pollute all of nearer space, I mean, we do pollute. I mean, we're constantly creating, you know, dumps and dumps and this and that, and we pollute a lot. We're polluting a significant amount of Pacific Ocean, but all of space, I mean, that's a level of irresponsibility and stupidity that I think I think human race. It is abounding, isn't it? It it

S

Speaker 2 1:17:41

is it. an abounding level of stupid.

S

Speaker 3 1:17:44

We will pollute the moon if given the opportunity.

S

Speaker 2 1:17:47

Absolutely, there's

S

Speaker 3 1:17:48

already some discussion about how we don't want to do that.

S

Speaker 2 1:17:50

I have,

S

Speaker 3 1:17:51

but don't be shocked.

S

Speaker 2 1:17:52

I have jokingly said on this show and the previous show for years, Stephen, that no, no, we definitely went to the moon. We were just gladly invited not to come back. Just please, please, guys. We'll look at you from over there. All right, and you know the the whole idea of if aliens did come, would we be the planet that they lock the door as they go by, like the Griswold family? You know where the the example I give is I'm trying to remember the name of the movie. I want to say it might have been Mac and Me, where where quite literally it's a kid who these aliens come to, but the whole time before the aliens get here, the only thing they've been exposed to is TV and radio, with zero frame of reference, so they actively talk like television, and and do like you know Lucy and Lucy and Ricky skits on their ship and things like that, and it's hilarious because it it's a concept whenever you think about it. Like yeah, if they're coming in as stellarly, first thing they're going to start seeing with zero reference is our transmissions and the things that we put out, and that's horrifying. If you get all of that without the actual frame of reference of what was happening in the world, like who are these people? My God, I don't want to go where that's coming from.

S

Speaker 3 1:19:15

I tell you, I tell you what they do. I think the ETs, if they're hanging out, you know, starting the solar system somewhere checking in. I think they go to IMDb. You go to IMDb and you check the rating, and you only look at like you know seven five plus. You know, same thing with the movie. Yeah, yeah. You don't you don't watch the crap. You watch the good stuff. I'm staying with some friends. They have a TV that's got everything on it you can imagine. It's got every. It's got you, Tubi, and you, yeah, and truth, truth. I mean, YouTube and YouTube, Tubi and and Sling and and and all the other stuff. Yeah, it's awesome. There must be 1000s of videos that I can access right through that screen with the remote. Okay, which is changing our world in a very dramatic. Way probably not for the better. All right, and so the fact is that ETs have got an enormous range of stuff to to to watch, and I think they're going to watch the great stuff, and they're going to tell the other ETs, yeah, this is good, that's good, and they're not going to spend much time watching the nonsense. Yeah. Now that stuff will follow us down through history, because most of it's now in electronic storage, and so some of the worst sitcoms of all time will actually be turning up probably in the year 3002 But whatever, we may transcend that.

S

Speaker 2 1:20:32

Thank God we have all those terabytes selected for Love Island, right? And by the way, the two's

S

Speaker 3 1:20:38

embargo has generated a lot of humor.

S

Speaker 2 1:20:40

Oh, absolutely! I

S

Speaker 3 1:20:41

don't know 150 cartoons on my my computer right now that I've gathered over the years that reflects a lot of humor. But probably I don't know if it's the best, but one of the one I like the most is which perfectly encapsulates this is that you've got some SETI sciences scientists rather with a telescope, and they're looking up, you know, for the ET stuff, right? Very seriously, they got the glasses, they got the lab coats, and there's a book underneath that says SETI or whatever. And about 15 yards behind him, there's an extraterrestrial gray pissing against a tree. That says it all, my friend.

S

Speaker 2 1:21:18

Yeah, yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:21:19

that says it all. Now, you know he wasn't accurate because we're pretty sure the the grays don't piss. But the point is, is he got the point across, right? And you know, I have issues with SETI, and we don't need to go there. But nevertheless, the truth embargo is so absurd, and because we live in a a still basically free country with freedom of speech, still now, I mean, really, we've got plenty of freedom to speak. It's just you know a little tinged. Yeah, we can we can make fun of all that. We can, and we do, and ultimately that's the benefit of everyone. Well, so I don't look. They're going to do their thing. We're going to do our thing.

S

Speaker 1 1:21:58

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:21:58

and as long as the truth wins out eventually, I don't think we have too much to complain about, given that the nature of history has been lots of lots of propaganda and lies, massive wars of empire, destruction of dark cities. Yeah, that's been going on for a long time, and so I think we're still making progress here.

S

Speaker 2 1:22:17

Well, I think we're making huge progress, Stephen. And like I like I said earlier, it's the fact of we've got to onboard people to this conversation in a larger way, and this is how we do it. You know, it may not be the as quick as a lot of people like it, but whatever it takes to get everybody onboarded to the conversation, I'm all about, and I'm ecstatic as to where we're at now because at least in my lifetime, this is more than we've ever had before.

S

Speaker 3 1:22:45

Well, there's a number of things that have come together that really is helpful for the disclosure happening at this time.

S

Speaker 1 1:22:53

Please,

S

Speaker 3 1:22:53

as opposed to 50-560-570-five, and one of them is the mass media access and podcasts, particularly podcast, which is going to eventually replace, I think, most a lot of other media. So, and the fact that everybody has access to them. If you got a computer and you got Starlink, you could be in the middle of the Amazon in a forest. You're you're watching some podcast. So, when disclosure takes place, the ability for hundreds of billions of people to go in and and and hear people talk about it, hear them being questioned about it-not just 15 minutes of news on ABC, but rather you know lengthy discussions of all kinds, which is already happening. But then God knows how many more UFO ET related podcasts will will happen after disclosure, but you know, put a number 2000 and so the the world is going to have immediate, broad access to this event and what's behind it and what's going on, which is exactly what you want. Wouldn't have been the case in 1955 that's for damn sure. Yeah. All right, people would have been learning about it months later. People wouldn't understand if at all. Getting weird, so that's good. Okay, we also have enough a huge knowledge of of science and the universe. We have a telescope out there that's actually seeing almost to the to the edge of the universe, but 13 point 8 billion years. However, there's some recent findings, you know, from from from the you know the that telescope that there's some things turning up that are not supposed to be there, right? It's like wait a minute that that that seems like it's not supposed to be there, like it's and so but we are learning this, we're seeing this, we're even getting photos, so that's good. That's that's what you would like to have when you're going to have disclosure. All right, I think we could have got made it through disclosure in '47 if Truman had done it. I would have been different, but in terms of maximum engagement, maximum what we call. Normalization. There's no question now is optimal, and the lead up to disclosure. You know, the slow roll, the drip, all that kind of stuff. Do this, which is important, but why didn't you do more? All of that is essentially a normalization process.

S

Speaker 2 1:25:16

Yes, it

S

Speaker 3 1:25:17

is, which is now very manifest, but not so manifest in the first 50 years, I think some of the truth embargo managers viewed it as normalization. But I mean, come on,

S

Speaker 2 1:25:25

yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:25:25

40 years of normalization. Well, that was

S

Speaker 2 1:25:27

that was an extreme normalization, I think, and and even to the point of ridicule of a lot of people. I found it funny that the very first document released in the tranche was somebody from, I believe it may have been APRO at the time. One of the first, like 1952, like one of the first UFO citizen UFO investigation organizations. Very first document out of the gate in the first tranche was this gentleman who belonged to Apro? Who wrote a letter to J. Edgar Hoover, letting him know that he belonged to the citizen organization that looked into UFOs and strange science, and that we have a Congress every year, and this is what we talked about. And just so you know, I am not a communist.

S

Speaker 3 1:26:16

No, that that is true. It was like

S

Speaker 2 1:26:21

that's how far back the ridicule of witnesses and people investigating and things like that go, and how deep it goes. The fact that, like, yeah, they were labeling them communists, man. You know, well, they

S

Speaker 3 1:26:33

labeled everybody communists. Absolutely, to do it again. But let me say this: history will show, I believe, that 90% of all the work that was being done back then and the things that people were coming out with and saying, "Look, we did this, we did this, all that, it's going to prove to be true. Yeah, they did it with very little money, without necessarily college degrees, but and they created organizations that the government worked to shut down, succeeded in some cases, not in others, but 90% is going to be true, and that's extraordinary, right? If a bunch of scientists come together, top of the field, you know, and they and they're working at CERN and they discover a new particle, people go, "Ah, it's a great thing. But what if some regular people, you know, because nobody would do this, discovered a new particle? I mean, be unbelievable. Well, this is that's an extreme case. I'm simply saying that you're going to find out that 90% of that is true. Yeah, and so the other thing is that wasn't going to say I've lost it. But let me also mention this. I'm gearing up for the for the post disclosure world. I think that most of the knowledgeable people are doing the same. Danny Sheehan, I believe, is holds the Disclosure Foundation. All the newer organizations that the organizations that some of the military guys are creating, Safe Space, all of that.

S

Speaker 1 1:27:54

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:27:55

they're doing this not because oh, this will help get end the truth embargo. No, I mean it helps a little bit, but it's it's not necessarily what you do. They're doing it because they know truth embargo is about to end, and they want that organization in place when it does. That's right, because the real the you know the ending the truth embargo is is a 32nd thing, and the post-disclosure pre-disclosure world is over. the The disclosure event takes 30 seconds, and then the post-disclosure era begins, and it will go on forever, and and that's when the real work begins. I mean, the amount of work and and opportunities and things that can be engaged and everything else, and and dealing with all of it and and so forth, will be huge, and that's what they're looking forward to. Because while disclosure event is, I think, the biggest event in human history, the post-disclosure world is another era.

S

Speaker 1 1:28:56

Yeah,

S

Speaker 3 1:28:57

there have been era changes, but this is unlike any other, and so everybody that's still alive post-disclosure is going to be able to participate in this era in ways we don't even know yet, and some we do, and it's going to be incredibly exciting, and hopefully it will be so transformative that we will back off the nuclear arms race. We'll back off most of the crap that we're doing, the religious murders and all that slaughter, and we'll go. Wait a minute, we're not alone. And you know, let's clean up our house. Yeah, right. I mean, it's crazy to do all this. To do what? To be a little higher, you know, status on the planet when there's probably civilizations out there that that just look at us and go. Oh, that's interesting. You know, get back to us in 10,000 years. We can talk. So I'm hoping that's what's going to happen. It's a very nebulous thing. I don't have the academic ability to write it out in a paper where it describes how the end of the truth embargo in very complicated ways will start. Shifting, you know that ability. There are other people that will do that. I'm the guy that's supposed to keep talking about it. So somebody much smarter than I will actually go. Okay, let's really look. Let's get a team of people together, and and and and look at the post-disclosure era. And and having said that, you can bet your life savings on any government in this world that has got massive tech, which includes supercomputers, and now AI and supercomputers, yeah, has been gaming the post-disclosure world for some time. They have been running the scenarios through them and through them and through them. Yep. Meaning, okay, if if we get disclosure and the whole world learns we're not alone. What happens in that industry? What happens in this area? What's the psychological? They came in forever. Can't say it. Can't publish it.